



**East Pye Solar
Environmental Statement
Volume 1: Chapter 7 – Landscape & Visual**

**Revision 1
March 2026**

**Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN0110114
Document Reference: APP/6.1.7
APFP Regulation 5(2)(a)**

Contents

7	Landscape and Visual.....	1
7.1	Introduction.....	1
7.2	Consultation.....	3
7.3	Legislation, Planning Policy and Guidance	11
7.4	Assessment Assumptions and Limitations	15
7.5	Assessment Methodology	18
7.6	Baseline Conditions.....	24
7.7	Embedded Mitigation.....	34
7.8	Assessment of Likely Effects.....	41
7.9	Additional Mitigation Measures	95
7.10	Residual Effects.....	95
7.11	Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	95
7.12	Conclusion.....	102
7.13	References	153

Tables

Table 7.1: Relevant Scoping Opinion Comments from Statutory Bodies relating to Landscape and Visual	4
Table 7.2: Summary of Further Engagement Undertaken	8
Table 7.3: Summary of Landscape Receptor Sensitivity	26
Table 7.4: Summary of Visual Receptor Sensitivity	29
Table 7.5: Summary of Landscape Effects – Construction Phase.....	42
Table 7.6: Summary of Effects on Visual Amenity– Construction Phase	45
Table 7.7: Summary of Landscape Effects – Operation Phase (Year 1).....	58
Table 7.8: Summary of Effects on Visual Amenity– Operation Phase (Year 1).....	60
Table 7.9: Summary of Landscape Effects – Operation Phase (Year 15).....	71
Table 7.10: Summary of Visual Effects – Operation Phase (Year 15).....	74
Table 7.11: Summary of Landscape Effects – Decommissioning Phase	83
Table 7.12: Summary of Visual Effects – Decommissioning Phase	86
Table 7.13: Committed Developments / Allocations relevant to Landscape & Visual.....	97
Table 7.14: Summary of Residual Effects for Landscape and Visual	104

7 Landscape and Visual

7.1 Introduction

- 7.1.1 This chapter of the Environmental Statement (ES) presents the findings of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of effects on Landscape and Visual aspect as a result of the Scheme.
- 7.1.2 This chapter identifies and proposes measures to address the potential impacts and likely significant effects on landscape and visual, during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.
- 7.1.3 The information presented within this chapter has been informed by the Scheme information provided in **ES: Chapter 4 The Scheme [EN0110014/APP/6.1.4]**.
- 7.1.4 Information considered in this chapter includes relevant landscape and visual policy and guidance as well as an explanation of baseline conditions. It also sets out the methodologies and approaches used.
- 7.1.5 This chapter details the findings of work and presents an assessment of the likely significant effects arising from the construction, operational (including maintenance) and decommissioning phases of the Scheme, upon landscape and visual amenity.
- 7.1.6 The chapter considers proposed avoidance, mitigation and compensation measures, and opportunities for enhancement measures. Embedded mitigation measures are presented where necessary and discussed to minimise the impacts of the Scheme during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.
- 7.1.7 The chapter assesses the nature of effects and likely significance of effects arising from the Scheme on:
- Landscape character and landscape features (the ‘fabric’ or components of the Order Limits which contribute to character); and
 - People’s views and visual amenity, from publicly accessible locations.
- 7.1.8 This Landscape and Visual Chapter has been prepared by appropriately qualified experts. For further details, refer to **ES: Appendix 1.2 Statement of Expertise [EN0110014/APP/6.3.1.2]**.
- 7.1.9 This Chapter is supported by the following figures that can be found in **ES Volume 2**:
- **Figure 7.1** Landscape Designations (sheets 7.1.1 – 7.1.4);
 - **Figure 7.2** Landscape Character Plan;

- **Figure 7.3** Topography Plan;
 - **Figure 7.4** CPRE England’s Light Pollution and Dark Skies Plan;
 - **Figure 7.5.1** Zone of Theoretical Visibility and View Locations;
 - **Figure 7.5.2** Site 10 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.3** Site 9 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.4** Site 8 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.5** Site 7 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-4);
 - **Figure 7.5.6** Site 5 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.7** Site 4 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.8** Site 3 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.9** Site 2 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.10** Site 1 Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.5.11** BESS Site Zone of Theoretical Visibility (sheets 1-2);
 - **Figure 7.6** Visual Receptors Plan (sheets 1 - 4);
 - **Figure 7.7** View Locations and Visualisations (sheets 1 - 12);
 - **Figure 7.8** CPRE England's Tranquillity Plan;
 - **Figure 7.9** Residential Receptors Plan (sheet 1 – 8); and
 - **Figure 7.10** Public Right of Way Receptors Plan.
- 7.1.10 This Chapter is supported by the following appendices, which can be found in **ES Volume 3**:
- **Appendix 7.1** Landscape and Visual Methodology;
 - **Appendix 7.2** Landscape Baseline;
 - **Appendix 7.3** Landscape Assessment Tables;
 - **Appendix 7.4** Visual Baseline;
 - **Appendix 7.5.** Photosheets;
 - **Appendix 7.6** Visualisations;
 - **Appendix 7.7** Visual Assessment Tables;

- **Appendix 7.8** Residential Visual Amenity Assessment;
- **Appendix 7.9** Public Right of Way Visual Amenity Assessment;
- **Appendix 7.10** Preliminary Arboriculture Impact Assessment; and
- **Appendix 7.11** Lighting Strategy.

7.2 Consultation

Scoping Opinion

- 7.2.1 The Scheme has been subject to consultation throughout the DCO preparation period. A request for an EIA Scoping Opinion was sought from the Secretary of State (SoS) through the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) in January 2025. A Scoping Opinion was adopted by PINS in February 2025 [EN0110014/APP/6.3.2.3].
- 7.2.2 The issues raised in the Scoping Opinion relating to Landscape and Visual are summarised and responded to within **Table 7.1**.

Table 7.1: Relevant Scoping Opinion Comments from Statutory Bodies relating to Landscape and Visual

Consultee and Date	Comment and Scoping Opinion ID No.	How has the comment been addressed in the ES chapter	Location of response in ES Chapter
Planning Inspectorate 25 th February 2025	Impacts to the Broads National Park. The Inspectorates Comments confirmed this matter can be scoped out of the ES.		Section 2.7 Baseline Condition
	Impacts on Conservation Areas. The ES should assess any potential impact to these Conservation Areas.	This Chapter identifies a range of Conservation Areas (CA) that lie within the Study Area, and these have informed judgement on value of landscape and visual receptors. ES: Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage [EN0110014/APP/6.1.10] assesses the CAs as receptors.	ES: Appendix 7.2 Landscape Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.2]
	Impacts on Registered Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest (RHPG). The Inspectorate agrees that impacts to these receptors can be scoped out of the ES subject to confirmation in the final ZTV.	This Chapter identifies a range of RHPG that lie within the Study Area, and these have informed judgement on value of landscape and visual receptors. As these lie out with the visual envelope for the Scheme, these have been discounted from the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment.	Section 2.7 Baseline Condition
	Impacts to NCN Routes are proposed to be scoped out on the basis that there are no NCN Routes within the site or study area. On this basis, the Inspectorate agrees that this matter can be scoped out of the ES.	Noted.	N/A
	The Scoping Report states that effects on visual receptors beyond 2km are expected to be negligible given expected visibility. However, the Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) illustrated in Figures 7.1.1 to 7.1.12 show that the panels will be visible beyond 2km. The ES should assess potential effects on views and visual amenity within the ZTV where significant effects are likely to occur.	Noted. The ZTV remains a tool in the assessment process and the ZTV does not constitute a visual effect or indeed the significance of that effect. As the Scheme design has evolved ZTV's have been updated to align to the ES and the study area refined to take account of design development and details including substations. Appendix 7.4 Visual baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.4] defines the visual context and sets out the range of representative view locations to represent the visual receptors. These locations have been agreed with South Norfolk Council and form the basis of the assessment of visual effects.	ES: Appendix 7.4 Visual baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.4]

Consultee and Date	Comment and Scoping Opinion ID No.	How has the comment been addressed in the ES chapter	Location of response in ES Chapter
	<p>Night-time lighting effects from a landscape and visual perspective are proposed to be scoped out of the assessment. The Inspectorate does not consider that sufficient information has been provided to scope out an assessment of night-time lighting, and the ES should assess the lighting effects on landscape and visual receptors, This should also include consideration of effects relating to intermittent lighting sources such as motion activated security lighting.</p>	<p>A lighting strategy Appendix 7.11 Lighting Strategy [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.11], secured by Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OOEMP) [EN0110014/7.3], has been undertaken to set out the Scheme approach to lighting. This includes measures to minimise light intrusion and effects on landscape and visual receptors in the context of the Scheme which has limited light sources. Therefore, no assessment of the effects of lighting on landscape and visual receptors is included in this Chapter.</p>	<p>ES: Appendix 7.11 Lighting Strategy [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.11] Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OOEMP) [EN0110014/APP/7.3]</p>
	<p>The Scoping Report states that, based on the Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) and professional judgment, likely significant effects are unlikely to occur beyond 2km from the Scheme boundary. The ES should provide further justification for the extent of the study area with reference to recognised professional guidance and the extent of the likely impacts, informed by fieldwork and relevant models or approaches. Effort should be made to agree the study areas with relevant consultation bodies.</p>	<p>The study area has been identified utilising guidance within GLVIA3 which refers to an 'appropriate and proportional approach' and that 'assessment should be tailored to particular circumstances' and ground-truthing during fieldwork.</p> <p>Previous experience of similar types of development has shown that a 2km is sufficient to understand the potential for significant landscape and visual effects for the majority of the Scheme elements. As detailed in section 2.6 the study area has been refined to account for design evolution and emerging detail.</p> <p>The definition of the Study Area was set out in the Statutory Consultation materials and agreed with South Norfolk Council.</p>	<p>Section 2.6 Study Area</p>
<p>Norfolk County Council</p>	<p>Mitigation – request to consider offsite measures to help the layering of features to reduce visibility Effects to residential amenity and impacts on PRoW</p>	<p>Environmental mitigation and enhancement measures are defined on Figure 2 Green Infrastructure Strategy within Appendix 2 of the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [EN0110014/APP/6.4] for the areas within the Order Limits.</p> <p>The Scheme has considered the baseline conditions and applied offsets to visual receptors including residential properties and PRoW to minimise effects.</p>	<p>Figure 2 Green Infrastructure Strategy within Appendix B of the Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]</p>

Consultee and Date	Comment and Scoping Opinion ID No.	How has the comment been addressed in the ES chapter	Location of response in ES Chapter
		Consideration of effects from these receptors is set out as part of this Chapter, with specific detail included in ES: Appendix 7.8 Residential Visual Amenity Assessment [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.8] and ES: Appendix 7.9 Public Right of Way Visual Amenity Assessment [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.8] .	
Natural England	Standing advice on Landscape and Visual with reference to National Character Area, Green Infrastructure Design Guidance and Design Principles for National Infrastructure.	This Chapter has considered relevant National Character Areas. The Schemes design approach has taken account of best practice as set out in Natural England Green Infrastructure guidance, and the use of Design Principles and Good Design as set out in Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Good Design'.	ES: Appendix 7.2 Landscape Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.2] ES: Chapter 5 Reasonable Alternatives and Design Evolution [EN0110014/APP/6.1.5]
Hempnall Parish Council	Reference to the need for a tranquillity assessment given the Project being within an area defined as 'most tranquil' by CPRE	Consideration to the baseline conditions with relation to tranquillity have been set out as part of this Chapter to inform judgment on receptors. Figure 7.8 Tranquillity [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.8] sets out the baseline as defined by CPRE.	ES: Figure 7.8 Tranquillity [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.8]
	Request for three photomontages.	A range of photowire visualisations have been prepared to support this chapter as included in Appendix 7.6 Visualisations [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.6] , including at locations requested.	ES: Appendix 7.6 Visualisations [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.6]
Seething Parish Council	Highlights that a number of historic routes / rights of way have not been considered	Noted. Additional routes have been identified as part of the baseline and set out within this Chapter.	ES: Appendix 7.4 Visual Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.4]
South Norfolk Council	A full Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment should be undertaken, including where necessary a Townscape Assessment. This should consider all potential impacts, both during construction and in operation, and the cumulative impacts with other TCPA and NSIPs where appropriate.	Noted. This Chapter provides a full Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment.	
	As existing trees and hedgerows are an undisputed feature of the sites and receiving landscape it is expected that	Appendix 7.10 Preliminary Arboriculture Impact Assessment [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.10] accompanies this Chapter. This provides	ES: Appendix 7.10 Preliminary Arboriculture Impact

Consultee and Date	Comment and Scoping Opinion ID No.	How has the comment been addressed in the ES chapter	Location of response in ES Chapter
	tree surveys will be available to inform the scheme's detail design and be part of the submission for examination.	details of the tree resource within the Order Limits, which has been used to inform the design development.	Assessment [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.10]
	Whilst the Scoping refers to the Hedgerows Regulations a few times, there is no specific reference to 'important' hedgerows and a presumption of their retention	Hedgerow resources have been identified as part of the UK habitat survey for Scheme. The Scheme has sought as an overarching Project Level Design Principle (PLDP) to minimise vegetation loss which includes hedgerows. A number of hedgerows have been identified for removal to facilitate access and construction of the cable route. Further detail on this is set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [EN0110014/APP/7.4]. Important Hedgerows are identified on the Hedgerow and Tree Protection Order Plan [EN0110014/APP/2.12].	Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4] Hedgerow and Tree Protection Order Plan [EN0110014/APP/2.12]
	We have now provided notes on all the proposed viewpoint locations.	Please see commentary in Table 7-1 below	

Statutory Consultation and Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)

- 7.2.3 Statutory consultation was held between 18th June 2025, and 6th August 2025. Relevant responses to the PEIR relating to landscape and visual matters and how these have been addressed through the ES, are set out within **Consultation Report Appendix 10 Section 47 Applicant Response Table [EN0110041 5.11]** and **Consultation Report Appendix 11 Section 42 Applicant Response Table [EN0110041. 5.12]**.

Further Engagement

- 7.2.4 Further engagement has been undertaken as part of stakeholder engagement specific to Landscape and Visual, as detailed within **Table 7.2**.

Table 7.2: Summary of Further Engagement Undertaken

Consultee and Date	Summary of Matter	Discussion
South Norfolk Council Email 20.09.2024	Consultation on proposed view locations as part of the LVIA. Email defining approach to landscape and visual surveys including View Locations set out to consultee, including suggested 101 view locations (primary and secondary), preliminary ZTV's and presentation of baseline imagery methodology.	No response received.
South Norfolk Council Meeting 27.11.2024	Project Updates and Environmental topic technical discussions. Landscape and Visual Methodology including view locations. Key requests: 1. Consider hedgerow enhancement including measures such as hedge laying to restore degraded features. 2. Upfront arboricultural surveys to ensure baseline understood and informing design development.	Agreed to re-provide figures for selection of view locations. Opportunities for hedgerow laying has been defined and set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (OLEMP) Appendix B Figure 2 Green Infrastructure Strategy [EN0110014/APP/7.4] . Appendix 7.10 Preliminary Arboriculture Impact Assessment [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.10] provides information on the arboricultural surveys undertaken to support the Application.
South Norfolk Council Email 27.11.2024	Consultation on proposed view locations as part of the LVIA Reissue of email defining approach to landscape and visual surveys including View Locations set out to consultee and supporting figures,	No response received.
South Norfolk Council Email 18.12.2024	Consultation on proposed view locations as part of the LVIA Reissue of email defining approach to landscape and visual surveys including View Locations set out to consultee and supporting figures	Response received on 02.01.25 requesting further baseline plans to support review. Additional information prepared to support, see below.

Consultee and Date	Summary of Matter	Discussion
South Norfolk Council Email 06 & 07.01.25	Consultation on proposed view locations as part of the LVIA Issue of additional data (KMZ, and baseline figures (designations with View Locations) to identify view locations positions and support consultation response.	Response received on 09.01.25 with regard to Sites 1, 2, 3 and 4. Response received on 17.01.25 with regard to Sites 5, 6, and 7. Response received on 22.01.25 with regard to Sites 8, 9, and 10, the BESS Site, and CRC. Comments reviewed and additional view location suggestions added to view locations. All proposed secondary view locations to become primary views and 13 additional requested view locations added.
South Norfolk Council Email 07.02.25	Consultation on proposed view locations as part of the LVIA Email from Applicant to summarise all consultation responses received and set out Applicants response to each request.	No response received.
South Norfolk Council Email 07.03.25	Consultation on Visualisations to Support Statutory Consultation Email setting out approach to visualisations for PEIR including 23 proposed view locations, and methodology.	Response received on 11.03.25 to confirm that the consultee is unable to respond in full but requests confirmation of selection process to aid understanding. Email response provided on 24.03.25 setting out selection criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A proportionate selection of the view locations we have previously agreed with the Council. • representative of the range of visual receptors present, and representative of those within the defined study area, focusing on short to mid-range views. • to cover the range of Project elements likely visible (based on the design parameters). • views selected where the Project will be visible (as worst-case locations); and • to cover requests raised in Scoping responses (where appropriate).
South Norfolk Council Emails 02.04.25, 08.04.25 & 09.04.25	Consultation on Visualisations to Support Statutory Consultation Email from Consultee on response to email issued on 24.03.25, and confirmation of agreement to proceed on basis set out, and additional comment to ensure visualisations provided for each Site and include views from Site 8 from Baxter's Lane / Brooke Road.	Responses on 08.04.25 and 09.04.25 setting out rational for selection of VL11 and Site 8, and provision of baseline photos for each selected view.
South Norfolk Council Phone Call and Email 09.04.25	Consultation on Visualisations to Support Statutory Consultation Call from Landscape Officer at South Norfolk Council to discuss Site 8 View Location selection for visualisation following comments from local residents.	Responses on 09.04.25 setting out rational for selection of VL11 and Site 8, and provision of baseline photos for each selected view
South Norfolk Council Emails	Consultation on Visualisations for Landscape and Visual Emails setting out approach to visualisations for the Application	Response from Consultee received on 08.09.25 to request confirmation of differences from materials presented at PEIR and confirm that the consultee is unable to respond in full due to limited resource.

Consultee and Date	Summary of Matter	Discussion
05.09.25, & 08.09.25	including 55 proposed view locations, and methodology (including type of visualisation for each), and sharing Figure 7.7 to identify locations and baseline imagery for each view.	Response to Consultee issued on 08.09.25 to clarify differences with approach to PEIR.
South Norfolk Council Email 23.09.25	Consultation on Visualisations for Landscape and Visual Follow up email to previous request for review of proposed visualisations.	Response from Consultee received on 09.10.25 highlighting delay in review (unlikely before 27.10.25). Response to Consultee issued on 10.10.25 to acknowledge receipt and clarify acceptance of delay / suggestion of alternative approach.
South Norfolk Council and Norfolk County Council Email 22.10.25	Consultation on Environmental / Landscape Strategy Email requesting a meeting to discuss the environmental design for the project and provide an update on the design approach.	No response received.
South Norfolk Council Email 30.10.25	Consultation on Visualisations for Landscape and Visual and Follow up email to previous request for review of proposed visualisations. Clarification that due to delay in feedback the Applicant has started to progress visualisations as set out.	Multiple response from Consultee received on 30.10.25 highlighting further delay in review. Response to Consultee issued on 07.11.25 to acknowledge receipt and ask for clarification on timeframe for review of visualisation locations and availability for a meeting to discuss the Environmental / Landscape Strategy. No further response from consultee received.
South Norfolk Council and Norfolk County Council Email 15.12.25	Consultation on Environmental / Landscape Strategy Email issuing a presentation of the design approach and landscape strategy in lieu of a meeting being arranged.	Response from South Norfolk Council received on 18.12.25 to confirm receipt of information and suggestion of preference to have a meeting and that the consultee will be in touch to arrange. Response to Consultee issued on 14.01.26 to acknowledge receipt and seek confirmation of availability to arrange meeting.
South Norfolk Council and Norfolk County Council Meeting 27.01.25	Consultation on Environmental / Landscape Strategy Presentation of the design approach and landscape and green infrastructure strategy.	Key comments received related to, Tree Guard Materials and Management, Hedgerow Losses and Restoration, TPO's, and Long-Term Management Responsibilities

Targeted Consultation

- 7.2.5 A further round of targeted consultation was undertaken between 22 October 2025 and 26 November 2025 following changes to the development boundary area of the Scheme presented in the PEIR and during Stage Two Statutory Consultation. All the changes are documented in full in the **Consultation Report [EN0110014/APP/5.1]**. These changes did not give rise to any materially new or different likely significant environmental effects compared to those reported in the PEIR. How these have been addressed through the ES are set out within **Consultation Report Appendix 10 Section 47 Applicant Response Table**

[EN011004/APP/5.11] and Consultation Report Appendix 11 Section 42 Applicant Response Table [EN0110041/APP/5.12].

7.3 Legislation, Planning Policy and Guidance

- 7.3.1 A summary of applicable legislation, planning policy and other guidance documents against which the Scheme will be considered relating to Landscape and Visual is set out in **Appendix 2.3 Legislation, Planning Policy and Guidance [EN0110014/APP/6.3.2.3]**.
- 7.3.2 An overview of the legislation, planning policy and guidance against which the Scheme will be considered for the Landscape and Visual assessment is set out below.

Legislation and Regulations

- 7.3.3 This assessment has been undertaken considering current legislation, together with National, Regional and Local Plans and policies. Relevant legislation applicable to the Scheme includes:

- National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949 (Ref 7-1);
- Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (Ref 7-2);
- Hedgerow Regulations 1997 (Ref 7-3);
- Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (Ref 7-4);
- Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (Ref 7-5);
- European Landscape Convention 2006 (Ref 7-6);
- Environment Act 2021 (Ref 7-7); and
- Planning and Infrastructure Act 2025 (Ref 7-8).

Planning Policy

National Policy Statements

- 7.3.4 National Policy Statements (NPS) set out the primary policy tests against which the application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) for the Scheme will be considered. The Overarching NPS EN-1 2025 (Ref 7-9) sets out the Government's policy for delivery of major infrastructure and is the primary basis for decision-making relating to energy products. Listed below are the details of the elements of NPS considered relevant to the Landscape and Visual assessment. Chapter 5.10 Landscape and Visual, and Chapter 5.11: Land Use, including Open Space, Green Infrastructure, and Green Belt are of relevance to this chapter.

- 7.3.5 NPS EN-3 for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (Ref 7-10), and NPS EN-5 For Electricity Networks Infrastructure, together with EN-1 are the primary decision-making policy document for the Secretary of State on nationally significant onshore renewable electricity generating stations in England and Wales and nationally significant offshore renewable electricity generating stations in waters in or adjacent to England. Paragraphs 2.10.88, 2.10.89, 2.10.92-2.20.93, 2.10.123, 2.10.124, and 2.10.125 are of relevance to this chapter.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

- 7.3.6 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), as revised in December 2024, sets out national planning policies that reflect priorities of the Government for operation of the planning system and the economic, social, and environmental aspects of the development and use of land. The NPPF has a strong emphasis on sustainable development, with a presumption in favour of such development. The NPPF has the potential to be considered important and relevant to the Secretary of State's (SoS) consideration of the Scheme. Listed below provides details of the elements of the NPPF that are relevant to this chapter, and how and where they are covered in the ES.

- Section 2: Achieving sustainable development, Paragraph 8 (c).
- Section 12: Achieving well-designed places, Paragraph 135.
- Section 15: Conserving and enhancing the natural environment, paragraph 187.

- 7.3.7 A draft revised NPPF (Ref 7-11) was published in December 2025 for consultation. The consultation closes on the 10th March 2026. Chapter 4 'Achieving Sustainable Development' corresponds to Section 2 of the current NPPF. Chapter 14 Achieving well-designed places corresponds with Section 12. DP3: Key principles for well-designed places and N1 'Identifying Environmental Opportunities and Safeguards' are also of relevance to this chapter.

National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)

- 7.3.8 The PPG for the Natural Environment (Ref 7-12) defines Green Infrastructure as *'a natural capital asset that provides multiple benefits, at a range of scales. For communities, these benefits can include enhanced wellbeing, outdoor recreation and access, enhanced biodiversity and landscapes, food and energy production, urban cooling, and the management of flood risk'*.
- 7.3.9 The guidance states that *'Green infrastructure opportunities and requirements need to be considered at the earliest stages of development proposals, as an integral part of development and infrastructure provision,*

and taking into account existing natural assets and the most suitable locations and types of new provision’.

- 7.3.10 With regard to landscape, the PPG identifies ‘*National Planning Policy Framework is clear that plans should recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and that strategic policies should provide for the conservation and enhancement of landscapes*’ and the need to undertake an LVIA.

Local Planning Policy

- 7.3.11 The Scheme is located within the administrative areas of Norfolk County Council (NCC), and South Norfolk Council (SNC) who is the host authority. Local planning plan policies which are relevant to Landscape and Visual and have informed the Landscape and Visual assessment are detailed below.

Greater Norwich Local Plan

- 7.3.12 The Greater Norwich Local Plan (GNLP) (Ref 7-13) was adopted by South Norfolk Council on 25 March 2024. The adopted GNLP consists of three documents: The Strategy, The Sites Plan and The Monitoring Framework.
- 7.3.13 The Strategy consists of seven policies, of which the following are relevant to this Chapter:
- Policy 3 – Environmental Protection and Enhancement.
 - Policy 4 – Strategic Infrastructure.

The South Norfolk Local Plan

- 7.3.14 The South Norfolk Development Management Policies Document (DMPD) (Ref 7-14) was adopted on 26th October 2015 and forms part of the South Norfolk Local Plan. The Key Diagram, which sets out the spatial strategy for land use and transport planning in the DMPD, will be superseded by the Key Diagram in the GNLP Strategy. However, the remainder of the document is to be carried forward and used in conjunction with the adopted plan.
- 7.3.15 The relevant policies in the DMPD are as follows:
- Policy DM1.4 – Environmental quality and local distinctiveness.
 - Policy DM3.8 – Design principles applying to all development.
 - Policy DM3.13 – Amenity, noise and quality of life.
 - Policy DM4.4 – Natural environmental assets: designated and locally important open space.
 - Policy DM4.5 – Landscape character and river valleys.

- Policy DM4.8 – Protection of trees and hedgerows.
- Policy DM4.9 – Incorporating landscape into design; and
- Policy DM4.10 – Heritage Assets.

Landscape Susceptibility in relation to Energy Generation Storage and Transmission Supplementary Planning Document (Consultation version)

- 7.3.16 The Landscape Susceptibility in relation to Energy Generation, Storage and Transmission Supplementary Planning Document (Energy SPD) (Ref 7-15) consultation, concluded in September 2025.
- 7.3.17 At the time of writing, the SPD has not yet been formally adopted. It is important to note that the SPD was not developed specifically for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs). It adopts a tailored approach to assessing landscape susceptibility, and as detailed in **ES: Appendix 2.3 Legislation, Planning Policy and Guidance [EN0110014/APP/6.3.2.3]**, it is not considered to be directly applicable to the Scheme.
- 7.3.18 Despite the Energy SPD not being directly relevant to the Scheme, it has been reviewed and where appropriate updates have been incorporated into this chapter, such as updates to judgements on landscape sensitivity.

Other Guidance

- 7.3.19 The assessment has been carried out in accordance with the following other guidance documents.
- 7.3.20 The Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (Landscape Institute / Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, 3rd Edition, 2013) (Ref 7-16) and its associated clarifications, provide guidelines for consideration of effects on landscape and visual amenity.
- 7.3.21 Technical Guidance Note (TGN LITGN-2024-01): Notes and Clarifications (Ref 7-17) on aspects of the 3rd Edition Guidelines on Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment was published in August 2024. The TGN provides a compilation of clarifications on GLVIA3.
- 7.3.22 The methodology for this chapter accords with relevant aspects of the Landscape Institute's TGN 06/19 Visual Representation of Development Proposals (Ref 7-18), TGN 02/21: Assessing Landscape Value Outside National Designations (Ref 7-19), and TGN 04/2020 Infrastructure (Ref 7-20).

7.4 Assessment Assumptions and Limitations

7.4.1 The Landscape and Visual assessment has considered the following assumptions and limitations:

- In accordance with GLVIA3 and Directive 2014/52/EU, this Chapter identifies the likely significant landscape and visual effects arising from the Scheme, rather than identifying every conceivable landscape and visual effect.
- This Chapter provides baseline information on cultural heritage designations and protected trees and vegetation (important hedgerows and Ancient Woodland), as these receptors contribute to overall judgements on landscape value. As receptors in their own right, they have also been assessed within **ES: Chapter 8 Biodiversity and Ecology [EN0110014/APP/6.1.8]**, and **ES: Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage [EN0110014/APP/6.1.10]**, respectively.
- The effect of lighting is not considered as part of this Chapter. A Lighting Strategy has been provided as **ES: Appendix 7.11 Lighting Strategy [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.11]**, which defines proportionate measures (in context of the nature of the Scheme) to minimize effects on landscape receptors and visual amenity. The measures within are secured through the **Outline Construction and Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [EN0110014APP/7.1]** and **Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [EN0110014APP/7.2]**.
- It is considered that there are a number of Important Hedgerows as defined within Hedgerow Regulation 1997 (Ref 7-21) within the Order Limits, as shown in **Hedgerow and Tree Protection Order Plan [EN0110014/APP/2.12]**. The presence of Important Hedgerow is relevant to landscape value and may influence the evaluation of landscape sensitivity.
- **Appendix 7.10 Preliminary Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Preliminary AIA) [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.10]** has identified a number of important trees (veteran) within the Order Limits. This is a proportionate assessment focusing on targeted surveys within the Sites alongside wider surveys along the Cable Route Corridor.
- **Appendix 7.10 Preliminary AIA [EN0110014APP/6.3.7.10]** identifies four clashes with the indicative access routes as shown on **ES: Figure 4.1 Indicative Masterplan [APP/ 6.2.4.1]**. These clashes are a result of the tree buffer zones applied to the Ancient and Veteran trees within the Order Limits. The access routes have been considered as a design element for the purposes of the preliminary AIA and tree constraints analysis, however due to the indicative nature of the access route and flexibility to relocate within Work No 4, it is considered that these clashes

can be dealt with at detail design and protection measures identified in future iteration of the AIA. This approach will align with the commitments set out in the **Design Principles, Parameters, and Commitments [EN0110014/APP/7.18]**, and **Outline CEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.1]**.

- The LVIA assesses the maximum parameters which are being sought as part of the DCO application. These are set out in **ES: Chapter 4 The Scheme [EN0110014/APP/6.1.4]** and secured in the **Design Principles, Parameters and Commitments [EN0110014/APP/7.18]** and **Works Plan [EN0110014/APP/2.3]**. The maximum parameters have considered an approach which applies offsets to important and protected features as defined in **ES: Chapter 4 The Scheme [EN0110014/APP/6.1.4]**.
- Currently, retaining the cables in situ is regarded as the most environmentally acceptable approach during the decommissioning phase. However, the ES retains flexibility to remove the cables installed as part of the Scheme during decommissioning. This could result in a series of brief activities across the Order Limits where the ground is opened up at regular intervals and cables pulled through resulting in increased movements and material storage along the CRC and within the Sites during the decommissioning phase. This assessment has considered this decommissioning activity as a worst-case scenario.
- As this assessment is based on worst case scenario, this assessment will only assess the parameters of Option A: Single-Axis Tracker Panels as defined in Table 4.1 in **ES: Chapter 4 The Scheme [EN0110014/APP/6.1.4]**.

The visual assessment and accompanying photographic record of the Order Limits (**ES: Appendix 7.5 Photosheets [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.5]**) have been influenced by weather conditions, time of day and seasonal factors during site visits. Every effort has been made to ensure that the photographs and their locations are representative of the Order Limits and its surroundings. The work presented includes both winter and summer baseline scenario. To aid readability annotations to define locations of the Scheme elements which accord with Order Limits have been shown in **ES: Appendix 7.5: Photosheets [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.5]**.

- The assessment of likely significant visual effects arising from the Scheme has been made from publicly accessible locations. The selection of representative view locations to represent the range of visual receptors within the Study Area has been agreed with SNC.
- A series of photowire and photomontage visualisations have been prepared to support this Chapter as set out in **ES: Appendix 7.6: Visualisations [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.6]**. At the time of writing effort was made to agree the location and methodology for production with SNC as evidenced in Section 7.2.

- This Chapter provides baseline information on protected trees (ancient woodland) and cultural heritage designations as these receptors inform overall judgements on landscape value. As receptors in their own right, they have been assessed within **ES: Chapter 8 Ecology and Biodiversity [EN0110014/APP/6.1.8]** and **ES: Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage [EN0110014/APP/6.1.10]**.
- A Glint and Glare assessment has been undertaken as part of **ES: Chapter 18 Other Environmental Matters [EN0110014/APP/6.1.18]**, and this topic is not considered further within this Chapter.
- Seven Highway Works locations have been identified within the Order Limits. These are remote from the main Sites, located at Station Road (LSR1, LSR2, LSR3, LSR4), Hall Lane (LIR and LHL), and Church Hill, Saxlingham Nethergate (LCH), as shown on **ES: Figure 1.1 Site Location Plan [EN110014/APP/6.2.1.1]**. These highway sites are required to facilitate the movement of Abnormal Indivisible Loads and to support construction activities for the Scheme. The anticipated effects include minor vegetation works, such as crown lifting, which would be temporary and limited to the Construction Phase. Given the limited scale and short-term nature of these effects, they have not been assessed further within this Chapter.
- Following statutory consultation, five additional view locations (VL5 to VL9) have been identified for Site 1 and are shown on **ES: Figure 7.7View Locations [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.7]**. These viewpoints were introduced to reflect evolving design parameters and to enable a more comprehensive assessment of potential visual effects in long-distance views. These locations have not been agreed with SNC, and summer baseline photography could not be obtained as they were added after completion of the summer survey period.
- Taking a parameter-based approach to assessment it is recognised there is likely to be further opportunities for landscape measures following design refinement; for instance, areas between solar arrays, field margins and where solar development may not be developed. However, this Chapter has taken a worst-case approach based on the parameters within works areas with landscape measures identified in Work No 10 only. In practice, any additional landscape measures to be implemented would reduce potential effects from those concluded on a reasonable worst-case basis.
- Post-decommissioning, the landowners would choose how the land is to be used and managed. The landowner may return all of the land to arable use, although it is anticipated that some areas of habitat and biodiversity mitigation and enhancement within the Sites may be left in-situ given they could contain protected species and so relevant licences at the time would need to be obtained for any changes. For the purposes of this assessment the reasonable worst case assumes that areas of

grassland will be returned to their previous land use (as these would not function as these habitats without ongoing management), and that proposed landscape features (woodland, trees, hedgerows, and scrubland) which will have matured will remain in situ as these would be part of the landscape.

7.5 Assessment Methodology

- 7.5.1 This section sets out the scope and methodology for the assessment of the effects of the Scheme on Landscape and Visual. The methodology for this chapter is based on the guidance set out in the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (GLIVA) (Landscape Institute / Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, 3rd Edition, 2013) (Ref 7-16) and its associated clarifications. The guidelines are not prescriptive and provide a general approach that should be adapted to the specific circumstances of the project under assessment.
- 7.5.2 A detailed methodology for the LVIA has been set out in **ES: Appendix 7.1 Landscape and Visual Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.1]**.

Sources of Information

- 7.5.3 The following sources of information that have been reviewed in the preparation of this chapter:
- Ordnance Survey Open Data for mapping.
 - 1:25,000 OS Explorer, for a general map overview of the Order Limits and surrounding area, as well as to review the Public Rights of Way network (bridleways, footpaths, byways, other routes), including names and locations of Long-Distance Route or National Trails, and for Open Access Land area locations and boundaries.
 - Google Earth Pro for aerial photography and Google Street View.
 - National Cycle Network ('NCN') maps.
 - Natural England's, National Character Area Profiles (Natural England, 2014).
 - District landscape character assessments and relevant supplementary design or planning guidance (SPD).

Study Area

- 7.5.4 GLIVA3 states that the Study Area must be reasonable and proportionate and must ensure that the focus when defining the appropriate Study Area is

on where likely significant effects upon Landscape and Visual receptors may occur, together with likely significant cumulative effects.

- 7.5.5 The Study Area has been informed through a combination of professional experience by consultation with the relevant bodies, a review of a search area extending to a 5km radius from each of the individual Sites, a walkover of the Order Limits undertaken in 2024 and further detailed survey in 2025 (to collect baseline photography). Consideration of the potential visibility of the components of the Scheme has also been informed by Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) Analysis as presented on **ES: Figures 7.5.1 – 7.5.11 Zone of Theoretical Visibility [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.5.1 – 6.2.7.5.11]**.
- 7.5.6 Taking a proportionate and robust approach, the Study Area defined for the ES has been established to reflect the various components of the Scheme which have with varying heights (as set out in **ES: Chapter 4 The Scheme [EN0110014/APP/6.1.4]**) which have influence on the potential visual envelope. It covers the entire Order Limits and applies offsets to the various components, as follows:
- A 3km Study Area extending from the new National Grid Substation and Grid Connection Infrastructure (including overhead line works) and the 400kV Project Substations within Sub-Site 1, the BESS Site and Sub-Site 5A.
 - A 2km Study Area extending from the BESS Site, and from the boundary of Sites 1 to 10 (which includes the solar PV arrays and 132kV Project Substations).
 - A 1km Study Area extending from the CRC.
- 7.5.7 This approach results in a variable Study Area which is visible on **ES: Figures 7.1 [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.1] to 7.7 [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.7]** and is reflective of professional experience in relation to the area likely to experience significant effects.
- 7.5.8 As detailed in **Section 7.2** the view locations have been agreed with SNC (with the exception of the view locations added following statutory consultation) to consider effects on visual receptors and on visual amenity.
- 7.5.9 Further detail on the definition of the Study Area and survey work undertaken is set out in **ES: Appendix 7.1 Landscape and Visual Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.1]**.

Temporal Scope

- 7.5.10 The assessment scenarios for the purposes of the LVIA chapter and supporting appendices are:

- Construction Phase Effects: 2028 – 2030. The Scheme assumes commencement of construction in 2028 and that the Scheme is built out over a 24-month period.
 - Operation: 2031 – Year 1. This is expected to be the earliest date that the Scheme can be fully built out and operational.
 - A future year of 2046 – Year 15 (i.e. 15 years post first operation of the Scheme) is considered for this LVIA chapter and supporting appendices i.e., 15 years after commissioning, which is the typical period for the establishment of landscape planting.
 - Decommissioning 2091. This would be the earliest year that decommissioning would commence based on the anticipated 60-year design life of the Scheme. Decommissioning is expected to take between 12 and 24 months and would be undertaken in phases. A 24-month decommissioning phase has been assumed for the purposes of the realistic worst-case assessment in the LVIA.
- 7.5.11 The LVIA has considered the Landscape and Visual effects of the Scheme at all three phases of the Scheme described above.
- 7.5.12 The ‘existing baseline’ year for the LVIA is 2025 which is the period the baseline studies for the LVIA were undertaken. Where relevant, ‘future baseline’ conditions are also predicted for each assessment scenario, whereby the conditions anticipated to prevail at a certain point in the future (assuming the Scheme does not progress) are identified for comparison with the predicted conditions with the Scheme.

Potential Effects

- 7.5.13 Embedded mitigation measures being incorporated into the design and construction of the Scheme are set out in **Section 7.7** below. Prior to the implementation of any mitigation (embedded or additional), the Scheme has the potential to affect (beneficially or adversely), during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.

Potential Effects on Landscape

- 7.5.14 Landscape receptors comprise of landscape designations, landscape character and key characteristics, landscape features, and specific aesthetic or perceptual aspects of the landscape.
- 7.5.15 There is potential for adverse effects upon the National Character Areas (NCA) the Landscape Character Areas (LCA) Sites identified within Order Limits and wider Study Area, and the landscape character and features of the Order Limits itself.

- 7.5.16 Landscape character includes consideration of published landscape character, within the NCA (Ref 7-22) and District LCA Landscape Character Assessment, South Norfolk District Landscape Character Assessment (Ref 7-23).
- 7.5.17 Landscape character and features of the Order Limits have also been considered. Given the scale of the Scheme, the landscape character and features within the Order Limits have been subdivided by individual Sites and the CRC. Where individual Sites and/or the CRC are co-located and exhibit similar characteristics and features, they have been combined for assessment purposes.
- 7.5.18 **ES: Appendix 7.2 Landscape Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.2]** provides detailed information about the landscape receptors considered within this Chapter.
- 7.5.19 During construction and decommissioning, effects on the landscape consider how the introduction of new activities such as plant operations, vehicle movements, and increased activity within the landscape alters the characteristics and qualities of the receptor and / or results in physical changes (such as the removal of vegetation, temporary earthwork operations for the Cable Route installation) as construction progresses.
- 7.5.20 The operational phase effects on landscape consider how the introduction of Scheme (the solar PV arrays, substations, BESS, and associated infrastructure) and new landscape elements physically alter the landcover, landscape pattern and features alongside the perceptual attributes or how the landscape character is perceived following implementation of the Scheme.

Potential Effects on Visual Amenity

- 7.5.21 The visual effects consider the changes in views arising from the Scheme in relation to visual receptors (or people) within the surrounding landscape including from neighbouring settlements and residential properties, motorists using local roads, and recreational users of the Public Rights of Way (PRoW), and recreational routes.
- 7.5.22 With the exception of specific view locations, each route, settlement or location encompasses a range of possible views, which might vary from no view of the Scheme to very clear, close views. Due to the varied geography and local conditions at each Site visibility towards the Site varies as defined within **ES: Appendix 7.4: Visual Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.4]**. This varies from being relatively open with long range views with expansive skies to more intimate landscape with contained views and wooded horizons. Potential visual effects are likely to be experienced to varying degrees from:
- Individual residential properties within the rural landscape, and residential properties within settlements throughout the Study Area, such as

settlement edges of Great Moulton, Long Stratton, Saxlingham Green, Hempnall, Lundy Green Shotesham, and Brooke.

- Public highways and transport routes within or adjacent to the Order Limits, including A140, B1527 and local roads.
- Local PRow within the Order Limits and wider landscape, which traverse the rural landscape such as routes south of Saxlingham Nethergate, and around Shotesham.
- Recreational Routes including the Boudicca Way and Via Beata.

Impact Assessment Methodology

7.5.23 The Landscape and Visual assessment follows the approach to undertaking EIA as explained in **ES: Chapter 2 - EIA Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.1.2]** albeit it has been modified in accordance with, and to align with, published guidance and accepted good practice. GLVIA3 is the key guidance document for the Landscape and Visual assessment. It sets out at paragraph 1.1 that:

“Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment is a tool used to identify and assess the significance of and the effects of change resulting from development on both the landscape as an environmental resource in its own right and people’s views and visual amenity.”

7.5.24 A three-stage assessment process is adopted, which is in accordance with GLVIA3. First, the sensitivity of receptors is assessed (combining professional judgement on value and susceptibility). Second, the magnitude of effect likely to result from the Scheme is determined (combining judgements on size/scale, geographical extent, duration, and reversibility). Lastly, the level of significance of the identified landscape or visual effect on the receptor is assessed (combining judgements of sensitivity and magnitude).

Sensitivity of Receptor

7.5.25 Determining the sensitivity of the receptor will be defined in terms of the receptor’s value and its susceptibility to change. These are based on professional judgments set against the criteria set out in **ES: Appendix 7.1 Landscape and Visual Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.1]** for landscape (**Section 3.3**); and visual (**Section 3.4**).

Magnitude of Effect

7.5.26 Determining the magnitude of effect will be based on:

- The size or the scale of the change;

- The geographical extent of the area influenced;
 - Its duration; and
 - The degree of reversibility.
- 7.5.27 These are based on professional judgments set against the criteria set out in **ES: Appendix 7.1 Landscape and Visual Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.1]** for landscape (**Section 3.3**) and visual (**Section 3.4**).

Categorising Scale of Effect

- 7.5.28 The level of significance of landscape and visual effects vary with the location, landscape context and type of Scheme. The level of significance of landscape and visual effects is a matter of professional judgement, which is informed by the combination of the receptor sensitivity and the magnitude of effects as set out in **ES: Appendix 7.1 Landscape and Visual Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.1]**. The Scale of Effects is on a 6 point scale ranging from Substantial to Negligible. Aligning to the **ES: Chapter 2 EIA Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.1.2]** Substantial to Moderate levels are identified as ‘Significant’ which is considered precautionary with reference to the Landscape Institute Technical Guidance Note-2024- 01: Notes and Clarifications of Aspects of Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment: Third Edition (Ref 7-17) which provides guidance on ‘Moderate’ significance of effects

“...moderate effects may or may not be significant and justification would be needed in the methodology or receptor assessment as to whether a moderate effect is significant or not.”

- 7.5.29 Minor and Negligible levels of significance are identified as ‘Not Significant’. In addition, ‘No Change’ is reflective of a situation where the baseline conditions would be maintained.
- 7.5.30 Changes may be beneficial or adverse; and some changes may initially be adverse, but over time gradually improve. Beneficial effects have a positive influence on the receptor (enhancement); alternatively, adverse effects have a negative influence on the receptor (degradation).

Residential Visual Amenity Assessment

- 7.5.31 The Landscape Institute’s Technical Guidance Note 02/19 (TGN 02/19) (para. 1.2) defines Residential Visual Amenity as: *‘the overall quality, experience and nature of views and outlook available to occupants of residential properties, including views from gardens and domestic curtilage.’*
- 7.5.32 Residential Visual Amenity Assessment (RVAA) is a separate assessment to LVIA, as stated within GLVIA3 para. 6.17, and focuses solely on private

views and private visual amenity. It requires assessors to determine whether the effects of a Scheme reach the ‘Residential Visual Amenity Threshold’, described as the point at which a Scheme would be of ‘...such nature and/or magnitude that it potentially affects ‘living conditions’ or Residential Amenity’ (TGN 02/19, para. 2.1).

- 7.5.33 The guidance note further indicates in para 1.6 that: *‘It is not uncommon for significant adverse effects on views and visual amenity to be experienced by people at their place of residence as a result of introducing a new development into the landscape. In itself this does not necessarily cause particular planning concern. However, there are situations where the effect on the outlook / visual amenity of a residential property is so great that it is not generally considered to be in the public interest to permit such conditions to occur where they did not exist before.’*
- 7.5.34 This Landscape and Visual Chapter includes a Residential Visual Amenity Assessment (RVAA) in **ES: Appendix 7.8: Residential Visual Amenity Assessment [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.8]**.

Public Right of Way Visual Amenity Assessment

- 7.5.35 A Public Right of Way (PRoW) Visual Amenity Assessment is a separate assessment to the LVIA, included in **ES: Appendix 7.9: Public Right of Way Visual Amenity Assessment [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.9]**. The assessment relates to the impacts on users of recreational resources comprising PRoW, including public footpaths; bridleways; restricted byways; and Byways Open to All Traffic (BOAT) within the Order Limits. It considers the physical changes (e.g. PRoW diversions or closures) and effects to visual amenity, which may contribute to the overall experience of these recreational resources.
- 7.5.36 There is no universally recognised guidance for this type of assessment, although the methodology follows the principles of the LVIA methodology and is informed by relevant policy and guidance, as well as the outcomes of relevant assessments such as transport and access, noise, air quality, and glint and glare.

7.6 Baseline Conditions

The Order Limits

- 7.6.1 The Scheme is located within the administrative areas of Norfolk County Council (NCC) and South Norfolk Council (SNC) who are the host authorities. A full description of the Order limits is provided in **ES: Chapter 4 The Scheme [EN0110014/APP/6.1.4]**.

Existing Baseline

Landscape (Designations, Landscape Character and Features)

- 7.6.2 **ES: Figure 7.1 Landscape Designations [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.1]** provides an overview of the designations and assets within the Study Area. The Order Limits is not located within any national designated landscape (National Parks or National Landscapes), or local landscape designations. The Order Limits and Study Areas contain multiple Heritage Assets (Conservation Areas, Scheduled Monuments, RPGs and Listed Buildings), Recreational Assets (Long Distance Trails, PRow, Open Access Land), and Protected Trees and Hedgerows (Ancient Woodland, Ancient and Veteran Trees, Tree Preservation Orders and Important Hedgerows).
- 7.6.3 The Scheme is located within one National Character Area (NCA), across six district Landscape Character Areas (LCAs). **ES: Figure 7.2 Landscape Character Plan [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.2]** provides an overview of the published landscape character across the Study Area. These are considered further below.
- 7.6.4 The overall landscape character comprises a predominantly flat plateau which is incised by numerous small-scale wooded river valleys (see **ES: Figure 7.3 Topography Plan [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.3]**). The area is generally open but includes areas that are more enclosed due to the presence of vegetation such as hedgerow field boundaries and woodland which create wooded horizons. The landscape is predominately arable, although some pasture and horse grazing are present locally.
- 7.6.5 Settlement pattern is dispersed, with small, nucleated villages and hamlets common, and wider small rural farmstead and groups of properties present in the surrounding landscape. Long Stratton is the largest centre located along the A140.
- 7.6.6 Tall communications masts and high voltage overhead transmission lines are present, together with a diverse network of strategic highways (A140, B1527, Norwich Road) and other local roads. PRow and recreational routes are common throughout the Order Limits and wider landscape including the Boudicca Way and Via Beata. These are both Long Distance Trails and Recreational Routes which interact with the Order Limits boundary and Sites in multiple locations and considered as receptors in their own right below.
- 7.6.7 The Order Limits and Study Area include areas of perceived tranquillity as large areas of agricultural land predominate. Bands of lower tranquillity occur along major roads that dissect the NCA such as the A140. Away from main roads, tranquillity increases.
- 7.6.8 For the ease of assessment, the landscape character and features of the Order Limits have been subdivided into distinct land parcels referred to as

Sites, and the CRC. The CRC has been divided into fourteen parcels, of which five are considered geographically distinct (with the remaining grouped with the 11 Sites), as defined in **ES: Figure 1.1 Site Location Plan [EN0110014/APP/6.1.1.1]**. For each parcel, a Scheme specific landscape characterisation study has been defined in **ES: Appendix 7.2 Landscape Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.2]**. This study references to the relevant district LCAs and identifies the specific qualities and attributes with reference to landscape character and landscape features for each of the individual Sites.

7.6.9 **ES: Appendix 7.2 Landscape Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.2]** provides a detailed description of each landscape receptor with judgements on their value, susceptibility and overall sensitivity provided. A summary is provided in **Table 7.3** below.

Table 7.3: Summary of Landscape Receptor Sensitivity

Landscape Receptor	Value	Susceptibility	Sensitivity
Landscape Designations			
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Medium	High
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Medium	High
National Landscape Character			
NCA 83: South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Medium	Medium
District Landscape Character Areas			
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	High	High
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Medium	Medium
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Medium	Medium
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Medium	High
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	High	High
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Medium	Medium
Landscape Character and Features of the Order Limits			
BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 1	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 3	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 4	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 5	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 6	Medium	Medium	Medium

Landscape Receptor	Value	Susceptibility	Sensitivity
Site 7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 8	High	High	High
Site 9	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Medium	Medium
CRC4	Medium	Low	Medium
CRC6	Medium	Medium	Medium
CRC7	High	Low	High
CRC9	Medium	Low	Medium
CRC13	Medium	Low	Medium

7.6.10 The Boudicca Way and Via Beata recreational routes are both considered to have high sensitivity due to their high value. Several LCAs such as LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley, LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland, and LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland are also rated as high sensitivity. Specific Sites within the Scheme, such as Site 8 and CRC7, are also noted for their high landscape sensitivity. All other receptors are defined as having medium sensitivity.

Visual (People’s Views and Visual Amenity)

7.6.11 **ES: Appendix 7.4 Visual Baseline [EN0110014/ APP/6.3.7.4]** provides an overview of the visual baseline within the Study Area, identifying the relevant visual receptors and their visual amenity. For ease of reference given the geographical scale of the Scheme, it has been organised by Scheme element i.e. Sites (with the new National Grid Substation included in Site 1), BESS Site, and CRC.

7.6.12 Where individual Sites and CRC are co-located, and within the same geographical area, these have been considered together. For each, an overview of the baseline summarising the visual characteristics of the Sites/CRC in relation to the wider landscape is defined.

7.6.13 The extent of theoretical visibility and visual envelope that the Scheme may have influence on is set out with reference to **ES: Figures 7.5.1 – 7.5.11 Zone of Theoretical Visibility [EN0110014/APP/6.2.7.5.1 – 6.2.7.5.11]** which provides a range of visibility analysis, considering the Scheme as a whole, and as its component parts, namely the solar PV arrays, the BESS, the National Grid (NG) Substation (and overhead powerline realignment) and Project Substations. A graded visibility to identify the areas experiencing the most visibility for each element is also included.

- 7.6.14 A ZTV analysis is a computer-generated tool to identify the 'theoretical' extent of visibility for the Scheme. The ZTV shows theoretical visibility only and is a tool in the landscape and visual impact assessment of the Scheme. It guides the appreciation of the potential and maximum visibility of the Scheme, that can then be used to focus the visual assessment process on those areas affected and avoids those areas which will not be affected.
- 7.6.15 In summary a review of the Digital Surface Model (DSM) analysis for the Scheme elements confirms that visibility is focused within the short to medium distance from the Sites. Generally, visibility is typically limited beyond 2 km from the Order Limits when reviewing the solar PV arrays, and 132kv Project Substations (Sites 4, 7, and 10), with more distant visibility possible for the NG Substation and overhead powerline realignment at Site 1, and the 400kv Project Substations at the BESS Site, Site 1 and Site 5.
- 7.6.16 Further information of the visual analysis for each of the Sites is set out in **ES: Appendix 7.4: Visual Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.4]**.
- 7.6.17 **ES: Appendix 7.4 Visual Baseline [EN0110014APP/6.3.7.4]** also summarises the visual receptors which are relevant to the Scheme elements. As described previously, visual receptors are always associated with people and their views at particular places. Visual receptors are identified on **ES: Figure 7.6 Visual Receptors Plan [EN0110014APP/6.2.7.6]**.
- 7.6.18 The selection of the view locations provide representative views from the range of visual receptors identified. Baseline descriptions and judgements are provided as to the value, susceptibility and overall sensitivity for each view location. View locations are identified on **ES: Figure 7.7 View Locations and Visualisations [EN0110014APP/6.2.7.7]**. View Locations and have been selected to represent a visual receptor's typical views (i.e. to be characteristic or representative of people's views) for the purpose of the LVIA. View locations have been agreed with SNC as detailed in Section 7.1 above.
- 7.6.19 **ES: Appendix 7.5 Photosheets [EN0110014APP/6.3.7.5]** presents the winter baseline photography for each view location (winter and summer). As set out in **ES: Appendix 7.1 Landscape and Visual Methodology [EN0110014APP/6.3.7.1]** paragraph 3.1.4, the winter view is representative of a worst-case scenario as deciduous vegetation is out of leaf so its screening properties will be less effective.
- 7.6.20 In summary, given the broad geographical area of the Order Limits, the visual characteristics vary. Generally, the southerly areas of the Order Limits lie within a large-scale landscape of openness and exposure, with expansive skies and distant views. Here hedgerows are generally sparse, and hedgerow trees mark the lines of former boundaries. To the north of the Order Limits there is a landscape which has more framed views which is the result of the deciduous woodland blocks which become more common

features. These small blocks of deciduous woodland are of high visual quality and create a series of wooded horizons which add variety to and create intimacy within the landscape. Where the Order Limits interacts with the wooded valleys of the River Tas Tributaries there is more enclosure due to a combination of topographical variation and vegetation.

7.6.21 **ES: Appendix 7.4 Visual Baseline [EN0110014APP/6.3.7.4]** provides a detailed description for the baseline view for each representative view location with judgments on their value, susceptibility and overall sensitivity provided. A summary is provided in **Table 7.4** below.

Table 7.4: Summary of Visual Receptor Sensitivity

View Location	View Location Name	Value	Susceptibility	Sensitivity
BESS Site				
VL1 (a/b/c)	PRoW Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL2	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL3	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Low	Medium	Medium
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL5	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL6	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL7	Origin of PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL8	PRoW Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL9	Property at Station Road	Medium	High	High
Site 1				
VL1	PRoW Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL2 (a/b)	Junction of PRoW Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL3	SE of Great Moulton settlement	Medium	High	High
VL4	PRoW Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL5	Stratton Road / Wacton FP13	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL6	PRoW Wacton FP3	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL7	Woodstock Lane	Low	Medium	Medium
VL8	PRoW Tibenham FP23	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL9	PRoW Aslacton FP13	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 2				
VL1	PRoW Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRoW Wacton RB40)	High	Medium	High

View Location	View Location Name	Value	Susceptibility	Sensitivity
VL2	Junction of PRoW Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL3	A140 Ipswich Road south west of French's Farm	Medium	Low	Low
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL5	PRoW Pulham Market FP6	Medium	High	High
VL6(a/b)	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret BR9 a. Junction of PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP8 b. near access to Lodge Road	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL7	Junction of PRoW Tivetshall St Mary FP6/FP7	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL8	Carpenter Walk / PRoW Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 3				
VL1	Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL2	PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL3	PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL5	PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL6	PRoW Shelton FP11	Medium	High	High
VL7	Stepping Lane	Medium	Low	Low
VL8	Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 4				
VL1	PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL2	PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL3	Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	Medium	High	High
VL4	Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	Medium	High	High
VL5	PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Low	Medium	Medium
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	Medium	High	High
VL7	PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL8	PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL9	PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL10	Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Low	Low
VL11	Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Low	Low
VL12	Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	Medium	High	High

Site 5				
VL1	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL2	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL3	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL4	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5 north of junction with FP9	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL5(a/b)	Origin of PRoW Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	Medium	High	High
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL7	PRoW Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL8	Settlement edge of Hempnall	Medium	High	High
Site 6				
VL1	PRoW Hempnall FP12	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL2	PRoW Hempnall FP11	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL3	Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP14	Medium	High	High
Site 7				
VL1	Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	Medium	High	High
VL2	Junction with Boudicca Way/PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	Medium	High	High
VL3	Junction with PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL4	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL5	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL6	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL7	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	Medium	High	High
VL8	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	High	High
VL9	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL10	Fylands Road	Medium	Low	Low
VL11	PRoW Shotesham FP21	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL12	Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Medium	Low	Low
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL14	Bussey's Loke (road)	Medium	Low	Low
VL15	Origin of PRoW Hempnall FP5	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL16	Junction of PRoW Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL17	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL18	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Medium	Medium

VL19	PRoW Hempnall BR30	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL20	PRoW Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL21	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 8				
VL1	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	Medium	High	High
VL2	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	Medium	High	High
VL3	PRoW Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL4	Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	Medium	High	High
VL5	Junction of PRoW Shotesham FP16/FP17	Medium	High	High
VL6	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL7	PRoW Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL8 (8/8a)	Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	Medium	High	High
VL9 (9/9a)	Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	Medium	High	High
VL10	Brooke Road, edge of Upgate Green Farm	Medium	High	High
VL11	Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	Medium	High	High
Site 9				
VL1	PRoW Brooke FP6	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL2	Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	Medium	High	High
VL3	Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	Medium	High	High
VL4	PRoW Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	Medium	High	High
VL5	PRoW Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	Medium	High	High
VL6	Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	Medium	High	High
VL7	Highfield Lane	Medium	Medium	Medium
Site 10				
VL1	Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Low	Low
VL2	Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Low	Low
VL3	Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	Medium	High	High
VL4	Upgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Low	Low
VL5	PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL6	Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	Low	Low
VL7	Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	Medium	High	High
VL8	PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL9	PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	Medium	High	High

VL10 (a/b/c)	Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Medium	Medium
Cable Route Corridor (CRC)				
VL1	Common Road near Parish Farm	Medium	High	High
VL2	Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	Medium	High	High
VL3	Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	Medium	High	High
VL4	Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	Low	High	High
VL5	PRoW Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL6	Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRoW Morningthorpe FP21	Medium	High	High
VL7	PRoW Hempnall FP31	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL8	PRoW Hempnall FP20	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL9	PRoW Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	Medium	High	High
VL10	PRoW Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	Medium	High	High
VL11	PRoW Hepingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	Medium	High	High
VL12	PRoW Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL14	PRoW Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL15	Southern origin of PRoW Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL16	PRoW Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	Medium	Medium
VL17	Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	Medium	High	High

Desk Study

7.6.22 A comprehensive desk study was undertaken to inform the existing and future baseline. This included reviewing key data sources referred to in this document and supporting appendices.

Surveys

7.6.23 As described in **ES: Appendix 7.1 Landscape and Visual Methodology [EN0110014APP/6.3.7.1]**, a site walkover was initially undertaken in summer 2024, with baseline winter photography captured during January and February 2025. Baseline summer photography was collected in June and July 2025, with additional winter surveys undertaken in November 2025.

Future Baseline

7.6.24 This section considers changes to the baseline conditions as far as changes can be established, described above, that might occur in the absence of the

Scheme coming forward during the time period over which the Scheme would be in place. The future baseline scenarios are set out in **ES: Chapter 2 EIA Methodology [EN0110014/APP/6.1.2]**.

- 7.6.25 The identified baseline could also evolve through changes to vegetation species composition due to changes in rainfall and average temperatures as a result of projected changing climatic conditions. The extent and nature of such changes is unquantifiable, but may include, for example, decreasing prevalence of specific trees due to increasing temperatures or increase prevalence of disease (i.e. Ash Dieback (*Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*)). Within the timescales considered in this assessment, such changes could result in trees being lost, however due to the nature of the Scheme, the anticipated scale of potential tree loss is not considered sufficient to affect the assessment of likely effects.

7.7 Embedded Mitigation

- 7.7.1 Likely environmental effects have been or would be avoided, minimised, mitigated or reduced through design measures and/or management of the Scheme, as outlined in this section. Proposed environmental enhancements are also described where relevant.
- 7.7.2 The Scheme has evolved through an iterative design process prior to submission of the Application. The design evolution is outlined in the **Design Approach Document [EN0110014/APP/7.17]**. The consideration of embedded mitigation measures within the Scheme are also outlined within this document. The **Design Approach Document [EN0110014/APP/7.17]** also defines the design framework for the Scheme comprising project level design principles¹ and design buffers.
- 7.7.3 The **Design Principles, Parameters, and Commitments [EN0110014APP/7.18]** then secure the design parameters and commitments in respect of the Works packages in Schedule 1 of the **draft DCO [EN0110014/APP/3.1]**.
- 7.7.4 Collectively these measures ensure the Scheme responds to the local context and that they are embedded into the design for managing landscape and visual effects through sensitive design.
- 7.7.5 At detailed design, there would be further consideration of detailed elements of the Scheme. An example of this would be with regard to the specification and colour of built elements within the Scheme, such as fencing, gates, facades structures (etc.). The exact details of proposed buildings and infrastructure would be approved by the relevant planning authority as part of

¹ Project level design principles are a set of overarching design objectives developed for the Scheme to guide decision-making throughout its evolution, ensuring that the development responds positively to the Applicant's brief and the local environmental, socio-economic and community context within the Order Limits.

the discharge of the relevant DCO Requirements in the **draft DCO [APP/3.1]**.

- 7.7.6 Embedded mitigation for the Scheme incorporates a number of measures to avoid, minimise or restrict effects on the landscape and on visual receptors. The following measures have been incorporated into the Scheme's design.

Embedded Construction Phase Mitigation

Outline Construction and Environmental Management Plan

- 7.7.7 An **Outline Construction and Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [EN0110014APP/7.1]** is submitted as a part of the DCO Application. Construction and demolition works would be undertaken in accordance with a detailed CEMP which would be secured as a requirement of the **draft DCO [EN0110014/APP/3.1]**. The CEMP would be agreed prior to construction works commencing. Any alterations to the measures set out in the CEMP would be agreed in advance of constructions works commencing.
- 7.7.8 The measures outlined in the CEMP that are relevant to the consideration of landscape and visual effects, and which will be adopted as part of the Scheme, include the following:
- Retention of existing trees throughout the Order Limits, wherever possible and as identified in **Appendix 7.10 Preliminary Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Preliminary AIA) [EN0110014APP/6.3.7.10]** would form a key requirement. A pre-construction tree survey would be required prior to starting construction works to re-establish the baseline. This survey would inform the tree protection zones to be applied during construction. Site hoarding and construction exclusion zones would be introduced around retained vegetation in accordance with the requirements of BS 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction'. An approved Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) would be adopted incorporating best practice guidance set out in British Standard 5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction which would ensure retained trees and other vegetation are not adversely affected during the construction process.
 - The use of visual screening, such as hoardings, would be implemented for more sensitive visual receptors in proximity to the Order Limits, including residential and PRow receptors that have the greatest potential to be affected by the Scheme.
 - Ensuring a tidy and neat working environment and covering stockpiles in accordance with best practice measures.
 - Temporary lighting during construction required to enable safe working in the hours of darkness would be designed as far as reasonably practical to avoid light spill onto areas beyond the Order Limits. Construction

lighting would include directional fittings and would be restricted to the construction working hours sets out in **ES: Appendix 7.11 Lighting Strategy [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.11]**

- Construction works that create dust will incorporate damping to mitigate the impact of dust generation on users of the PRow network and residential properties.
- Vegetation disturbance should be minimised as much as practicable, and any bare ground resulting from construction should be re-seeded in accordance with the measures set out in the **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]**.
- Hedgerow removal for the installation of the Cable Route and Haul Routes as set out in the **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]** should be minimised and restored and replanted in the next available planting season following the work.

Embedded Operation and Maintenance Phase Mitigation

Design Approach Document

- 7.7.9 The **Design Approach Document [EN0110014/APP/7.17]** sets out project level design principles to facilitate the practical application of IGP's Corporate Level Design Principles at the project level.
- 7.7.10 The project principles, detailed within section 3.6 of the **Design Approach Document [EN0110014/APP/7.17]**, were developed during early engagement with local stakeholders, communities and technical specialists, as part of the consultation and feedback received during statutory consultation.
- 7.7.11 The project level design principles have and will continue to be used where relevant to drive design-related decision-making throughout the lifecycle of the Scheme to secure the best outcomes at detailed design.
- 7.7.12 The project level design principles relevant to the consideration of landscape and visual effects and subsequent inclusion of embedded mitigation measures are:
- **2.1** Respond to the character of the Sites, informed by Natural England National Character Area Profiles and South Norfolk Local Landscape Character Assessment and Place Making Guide SPD, including the character of the River Valleys.
 - **2.2** Retain and enhance existing vegetation, where possible, and features of value to retain the fabric of the Sites and aid the integration of

the Scheme within the environment and the characteristics of the surroundings, as far as practicable.

- **2.3** Support the objectives of Norfolk’s Green Infrastructure Strategy, creating green infrastructure for climate change resilience and enhancing biodiversity.
- **2.4** Create new woodland belts and native tree planting (a mixture of natural regeneration and planting) to provide screening to the Scheme, improve biodiversity and green infrastructure connectivity.
- **2.5** Restore key hedgerows to improve biodiversity and reinforce a sense of landscape character.
- **2.6** Improve soil health during the lifetime of the Scheme.
- **2.7** Consider the setting of heritage assets and mitigate any impact by design, where practicable.
- **2.8** Careful siting of infrastructure and landscape buffers to minimise impact on recreational and residential amenity, where practicable.
- **2.9** Consider the opportunities for peoples’ connection to nature, experience and access using quiet lanes, PRow and recreational routes namely the Boudicca Way and Via Beata.
- **2.10** Prevent deterioration to the local water quality environment, such as the River Tas.
- **2.11** Operational lighting and light spill to be kept to a minimum and ‘directional’, in response to Norfolk County Council’s rural dark landscape.
- **3.2** Integrate the Scheme into the natural environment and strengthen habitat corridors through the farmed landscape, allowing the movement of wildlife and enhancement of biodiversity.
- **3.3** Incorporate initiatives set out in the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and Norfolk Clayland Initiative, where practicable.
- **3.4** Support creation of field-edge/field-corner habitats such as grass margins, hedges and ditches and trees to support rare arable weeds and farmland bird species.
- **3.5** Maintain isolated ponds and reinstate ghost ponds, which are a characteristic feature of the clay plateau for their landscape and biodiversity value, particularly their populations of great crested newt.

7.7.13 The **Design Approach Document [EN0110014APP/7.17]** also defines the minimum offsets and buffers from existing features within **Table 3.2**. With

regard to this Chapter, these measure to avoid and mitigate potential effects from visual receptors including residential properties, settlements and PRoW, as well as landscape features, including ancient woodland, trees, hedgerows and watercourses.

7.7.14 Specific measures with importance to this Chapter include:

- Provision of increased offset of up to 50m from solar PV panel development from PRoW Great Moulton FP14 and FP15 within Site 1 / National Grid Substation Site, to reduce proximity of development and potential effects to visual amenity for users of this route.
- Solar PV panel development offset of 70m from Hill House Grade II Listed Building within Site 2.
- Exclusion of solar PV panels development from the north of Site 3 in proximity to Lundy Green and PRoWs Hempnall FP25, and FP28.
- Circa 400m offset of solar PV panel development adjacent to the Grade II* Listed Building, The Old Rectory, and Grade II Listed Buildings, The Cedars and St Michael's Church within Site 4.
- Exclusion of solar PV panel development to the east of PRoW Long Stratton FP3 within Site 4.
- Exclusion of solar PV panel development to the east of Site 5 due to residential properties along The Street and Grade I Listed Building, Church of St Catherine, and Fritton Conservation Area.
- Exclusion of solar PV panel development with Site 6 due to proximity to a number of Listed Buildings.
- Exclusion of solar PV panel development within Sub-Site 7B when in proximity to the lower lying and more sensitive landscape areas associated with Lowland Fen Habitat.
- Offset and exclusion of solar PV panel development within Site 7 when in proximity to PRoW's, namely Hempnall FP2, FP3, FP4, FP5, Saxlingham Nethergate FP9, FP10, FP12, FP13, FP14, FP27, and Woodton RB10 to reduce proximity of development allowing for sufficient space for landscape mitigation planting, and reduce potential effects to visual amenity for users of these routes.
- Exclusion of solar PV panel development within Site 7 when in proximity to Saxlingham Green and its Conservation Area, and Grove and Wood Farmhouses Grade II Listed Buildings.
- Exclusion of solar PV panel development within Sub-Site 8A and 8B in proximity to residential properties on Market Lane and Wash Lane and from Shotesham, and Ancient Woodland.

- Circa 20m offset of solar PV panel development within Site 8A and 8B when in proximity to PRoW's, namely Shotesham FP19 and FP22 to reduce proximity of development allowing for sufficient space for landscape mitigation planting, and reduce potential effects to visual amenity for users of these routes.
- Exclusion of solar PV panel development within Site 9 which in proximity to residential properties along Mill Lane, and within Brook and Brooke Conservation Area.

Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan

- 7.7.15 An **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]** is submitted as part of the DCO Application. It sets the framework for the planting, management and monitoring of landscaping and ecological mitigation and enhancement measures. The **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]** includes Appendix A (Figure 1 Hedgerow Removals Plan), and Appendix B (Figure 2 Green Infrastructure Strategy).
- 7.7.16 Appendix A details the extent of hedgerow removal for the construction of the Scheme including along the Cable Route, the Construction Haul Route and for construction and operational access.
- 7.7.17 Appendix B details the landscape and ecological measures included within the Scheme, including proposed new features and measure for enhancement of existing features. It also identifies the extent of hedgerow replacement planting following construction of the Scheme to mitigate for the losses identified in Appendix A.
- 7.7.18 The **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]** sets out specific landscape and ecological prescriptions for implementation of the measures identified in Appendix B. The proposed measures link to the project level design principles set out within the **Design Approach Document [APP/7.17]**. Such opportunities and prescriptions would be put in place to create and enhance habitats, protect and enhance existing landscape/ ecological features, strengthen Green Infrastructure across the Order limits and provide visual screening whilst aligning with local conservation priorities.
- 7.7.19 The management and maintenance of existing and new vegetation is an embedded mitigation measure which ensures vegetation would be actively managed in the long term. The **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]** defines the measures to ensure successful implementation and establishment management measures for the proposed landscape and ecological measures, and further measures to ensure success in the long term will be defined in the LEMP which will be secured via DCO Requirement prior to implementation of the Scheme.
- 7.7.20 For example, the **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]** includes targets for establishment of existing and proposed hedgerow, with a target height 3m as

an embedded mitigation measure which aims to screen views from nearby PRoW and visual receptors. The active management and maintenance of trees and woodland within the Order limits aims to ensure they establish successfully in as these landscape features are primary mechanisms for filtering and screening views towards the Scheme from nearby PRoW, roads and residential dwellings, as well as providing important habitat as part of the wider landscape measures to support ecological networks and connectivity across the landscape.

Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan

- 7.7.21 The **Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (Outline PRoWPPMP) [EN0110014/APP/7.8]** provides a framework for the management of routes throughout the Scheme. It identifies the principles for managing PRoW, promoted walking routes and permissive paths. The key objective is to seek to ensure that PRoW, promoted walking routes and permissive paths remain open and safe to use throughout the Scheme's construction, operational and decommissioning phases, where practicable.

Lighting Strategy

- 7.7.22 With regard to the consideration of lighting and subsequent mitigation measures, it is not considered likely that that the Scheme would result in any significant effects through additional lighting. **ES: Appendix 7.11 Lighting Strategy [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.11]** provides a strategy and commitments for control and minimising effects of lighting, as part of an embedded mitigation measure. The Lighting Strategy is secured by the **Outline OEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.3]**.
- 7.7.23 **ES: Chapter 4 Scheme Description [EN0110014/APP/6.1.4]** states that lighting is not required within the Solar PV Site during the Operational Phase of the Scheme and that all routine maintenance activities would be scheduled for daylight hours as far as is practicable. Focussed task specific lighting would only be required in the event of emergency works or equipment failure requiring night-time working. Motion sensing security lighting would be provided within the Project Substations, the National Grid Substation, and within the BESS Site to maintain safe working conditions in winter months, for security purposes, and for maintenance activities.

Embedded Decommissioning Phase Mitigation

- 7.7.24 Prior to the commencement of any phase of decommissioning, a Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan, would be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority and secured by a requirement in the **draft DCO [EN0110014/APP/3.1]**. The DEMP must be

substantially in accordance with the **Outline DEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.3]**. This would ensure the potential decommissioning effects associated with landscape character and visual amenity are minimised.

- 7.7.25 Following removal of the Scheme (excluding the National Grid Substation but, in a worst-case scenario, including removal of the Cable Route where localised ground disturbance may be required to remove the cable), the Order Limits would be reinstated as far as practicable and returned to landowners to be available for its original use. A range of landscape and ecology measures would be retained, such as Broadleaf Woodland, Linear Belts of Trees, Scrub, and Hedgerows. Areas of grassland are assumed to revert to their former agricultural land use.

7.8 Assessment of Likely Effects

- 7.8.1 An assessment of Likely Significant effects is presented in **ES: Appendix 7.3 Landscape Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.3]**, and **ES: Appendix 7.7 Visual Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.7]**. These set out the anticipated effects at construction, operation (including maintenance) and decommissioning for the landscape and visual receptors. Taking into account the embedded mitigation measures as detailed in section 7.7, the potential for the likely effects of the Scheme on Landscape and Visual receptors was assessed using the methodology as detailed in section 7.5 of this chapter.

Construction Phase

- 7.8.2 Potential landscape and visual effects arising from the Scheme during its Construction Phase, anticipated to commence in 2028 for a period of approximately 24 months, are likely to comprise reversible and short-term effects. These would be as a result of:
- Construction compounds, including laydown and temporary material storage areas and welfare facilities within the Order Limits resulting in heightened levels of activity within the Order Limits and wider landscape.
 - Increased vehicle movements within the Order Limits and wider landscape (mainly on the highway network) to transport workers and materials to the Order Limits.
 - Introducing vehicle movements and activity to arable fields within the internal haul route in Site 1, Site 7, Site 8, CRC11 and CRC12.
 - Small scale loss of field boundary hedgerows and vegetation to facilitate Order Limits access during construction, with some continued loss required for operational access. The extent of hedgerow removal is detailed in Appendix A, Figure 1: Hedgerow Removal Plans of the **Outline LEMP [EN0110014/APP/7.4]**.

- Construction activities associated with installation of the Scheme elements, i.e. Project Substations, BESS, solar PV arrays, security and perimeter fencing, and access tracks.
- Construction activities associated with the installation of the new electrical cables across the Order Limits, resulting in a series of reversible, phased activities across a large geographical area. This will include digging trenches and stock piling excavations along the majority of the CRC and localised trenchless technologies being employed at defined locations.
- Small scale reversible loss of landscape features along the CRC routes (such as trees and sections of hedgerows) over long distances.
- Reversible effects on arable fields along the CRC routes.
- Effect to perception of tranquillity and remoteness across the rural landscape.
- Temporary closure of PRowS to facilitate construction activities.

Landscape

7.8.3 **Table 7.5** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effect for the landscape receptors during construction. For further detail please refer to **ES: Appendix 7.3 Landscape Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.3]**.

Table 7.5: Summary of Landscape Effects – Construction Phase

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
Landscape Designations				
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (Long Distance Trail (LDT))	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
National Landscape Character				
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
District Landscape Character Areas				
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Landscape Character and Features of the Order Limits				
BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 1	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 3	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 4	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 5	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 6	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
Site 7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 8	High	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 9	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
CRC4	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
CRC6	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
CRC7	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
CRC9	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
CRC13	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant

- 7.8.4 During construction, a series of short term and reversible effects will occur. The construction activity, including the temporary compounds and access and haul routes to facilitate the movement of vehicles, plant and materials alongside wider vehicle movements across the Order Limits and within the wider landscape along the local and strategic road network, crane operations, temporary task lighting and fencing, and the removal of vegetation to allow installation of the Scheme, is considered reversible as the Scheme is built out. It is likely that a phased approach to construction of the Scheme will occur, so not all elements of the Scheme will be constructed at the same time and in the same locations. However, for the purposes of this assessment and adopting a worst-case scenario, it has been assumed that all activity occurs simultaneously, and that the assessment represents maximum activity over a short-term duration.
- 7.8.5 In summary, during construction, a **Major** magnitude of effect, resulting in a **Major** level of significance and **Significant** effect is predicted for LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland, LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland and the landscape character and features of the BESS Site, Site 1 and Site 7 (including CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, and CRC12). These receptors would be subject to increased levels of activities due to the concentration of infrastructure present within which would introduce activity totally uncharacteristic to the attributes of the receiving landscapes and would degrade the integrity of landscape in the short term.
- 7.8.6 During construction, a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Major** level of significance and **Significant** effect is predicted for Site 8. This receptor would be subject to increased activities which would introduce elements uncharacteristic to the receiving landscape altering the receptor in the short term.
- 7.8.7 A **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in **Moderate** level of significance and **Significant** effect is predicted for NCA 83: South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands, and the landscape character and features of Site 2 (including CRC3), Site 3, Site 4, Site 5, Site 9, Site 10 (including CRC14) and CRC 4. These receptors would be subject to increased levels of activity which would introduce elements uncharacteristic to the attributes of the receiving landscape and would ultimately alter it in the short term.
- 7.8.8 During construction, a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Moderate** level of significance and **Significant** effect is predicted for the Via Beata Recreational Routes, LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley, LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland and LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland. These receptors would be subject to increased activity which on balance would result in little change to the receptor but one which contributes to the alteration of the character in the short term.

7.8.9 All other landscape receptors (Boudicca Way (LDT), LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland, and landscape character and features of Site 6, CRC6, CRC7, CRC9 and CRC13) would experience effects which are considered Not Significant. These changes would be limited in scale and extent and would not influence the key characteristics of these receptors.

Visual (People’s Views and Visual Amenity)

7.8.10 **Table 7.6** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effect for the visual receptors and on visual amenity during construction. For further detail please refer to **ES: Appendix 7.7 Visual Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.7]**.

Table 7.6: Summary of Effects on Visual Amenity– Construction Phase

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
BESS Site					
VL1 (a/b/c)	PRoW Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL2	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL5	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	Origin of PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL9	Property at Station Road	High	Major	Direct Adverse	Major to Substantial Significant
Site 1					
VL1	PRoW Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL2 (a/b)	Junction of PRoW Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL3	SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Major	Direct Adverse	Major to Substantial Significant
VL4	PRoW Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Wacton FP3	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL7	Woodstock Lane	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Tibenham FP23	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	Aslacton FP13	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
Site 2					
VL1	PRoW Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRoW Wacton RB40)	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Junction of PRoW Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	A140 Ipswich Road south-west of French's Farm	Low	Major	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL5	PRoW Pulham Market FP6	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6(a/b)	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL7	Junction of PRoW Tivetshall St Mary FP6/FP7	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	Carpenter Walk / PRoW Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
Site 3					
VL1	Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL5	PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	PRoW Shelton FP11	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Stepping Lane	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 4					
VL1	PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL8	PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL9	PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL10	Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Major	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL11	Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL12	Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 5					
VL1	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL3	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5 north of junction with FP9	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL5(a/b)	Origin of PRoW Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRoW Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
Site 6					
VL1	PRoW Hempnall FP12	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Hempnall FP11	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP14	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 7					
VL1	Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Major	Direct Adverse	Major to Substantial Significant
VL2	Junction with Boudicca Way/PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Junction with PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL8	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL10	Fylands Road	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	PRoW Shotesham FP21	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL12	Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL14	Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Major	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL15	Origin of PRoW Hempnall FP5	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL16	Junction of PRoW Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL17	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL18	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL19	PRoW Hempnall BR30	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL20	PRoW Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL21	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
Site 8					
VL1	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	PRoW Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL5	Junction of PRoW Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL7	PRoW Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL9	Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	Negligible	N/A	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Brooke Road, edge of Uppgate Green Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL11	Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Major	Direct Adverse	Major to Substantial Significant
Site 9					
VL1	PRoW Brooke FP6	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL2	Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL4	PRoW Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Major	Direct Adverse	Major to Substantial Significant
VL6	Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	Highfield Lane	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 10					
VL1	Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Uppgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL5	PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL7	Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
CRC					
VL1	Common Road near Parish Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL2	Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL5	PRoW Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRoW Morningthorpe FP21	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL7	PRoW Hempnall FP31	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL8	PRoW Hempnall FP20	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL9	PRoW Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL10	PRoW Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	PRoW Hepingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL12	PRoW Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL14	PRoW Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL15	Southern origin of PRoW Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL16	PRoW Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL17	Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change

- 7.8.11 The representative view locations represent the range of visual receptors present within the Study Area. They have been organised by each of the Sites for ease of reference.
- 7.8.12 Visual receptor sensitivity can be broadly summarised as being Low to Medium for local roads, Medium to High for PRoW and recreational receptors, and High for residential receptors and long-distance walking route users.
- 7.8.13 As stated above, during construction, a series of short term and reversible effects will occur. It is likely a phased approach to construction of the Scheme will occur and not all elements will be constructed in combination. However, for the purposes of this assessment and taking a worst-case scenario, it is considered all activity occurs simultaneously, and the assessment represents a peak scenario with this occurring over a short-term duration.
- 7.8.14 At the BESS Site, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **Slight to Major** magnitude resulting in **Negligible to Major to Substantial** levels of significance and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. Significant visual effects are predicted for receptors located in close proximity to the Order Limits, including scattered properties, local roads, and PRoW that either intersect with or lie adjacent to the Order Limits. This is a result of the proximity of the receptors to the Scheme elements and the activity that will be visible which represents a clearly noticeable to dominant change to views which will affect its appreciation and composition.
- 7.8.15 At Site 1, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **Slight to Major** magnitude resulting in **Minor to Major to Substantial** levels of significance and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. Significant effects are predicted for receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits, namely

- those on the settlement edge of Great Moulton, and on the local PRow which interact with the Order Limits. This will represent a complete change to views which will affect the appreciation and composition of the baseline view. Beyond the immediate Order Limits boundary effects reduce as a result of distance and intervening features which limit visibility to the Order Limits and anticipated construction activity. Effects reduce to negligible when the views are long distance.
- 7.8.16 At Site 2, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **Negligible to Major** magnitude resulting in **Minor to Major** levels of significance and a range of **Not significant** and **Significant effects**. **Significant** effects are predicted for receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits, namely those from the local PRow network which interact with the Order Limits and road network. This will represent a complete change to views which will affect the appreciation and composition of the baseline view. Beyond the immediate Order Limits boundary, effects will reduce due to intervening features which limit visibility to the Order Limits and anticipated construction activity, including from views from Wacton, Great Moulton and Tivetshall St Margaret.
- 7.8.17 At Site 3, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **No Change to Major** magnitude resulting in **No Change to Major** levels of significance and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. **Significant** visual effects are predicted for receptors in close proximity to the Order Limits, specifically those on the local PRow network that interact with the Order Limits and the edge of the settlement at Lundy Green. Beyond the immediate Order Limits effects will reduce as a result of intervening features which limit visibility to the construction activity.
- 7.8.18 At Site 4, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **No Change to Major** magnitude resulting in **No Change to Major** levels of significance and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. **Significant** effects are predicted for receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits, namely those from the local PRow network which interact with the Order Limits the local road network (including the B1257, and A140) and from residential properties in the local area (including at Stratton St Michael, and the Grey Gables) and from properties to the west of the Order Limits (east of Tharston, namely Church Farm, Hall Farm and Shrublands). Beyond the immediate Order Limits generally effects will reduce as a result of intervening features which limit visibility of anticipated construction activity. **No Significant effects** are predicted from the settlement edge of Long Stratton to the south, or from the River Tas tributary valley to the north of the Order Limits.
- 7.8.19 At Site 5, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **Negligible to Major** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible to Major** levels of significance, and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. **Significant** effects are predicted for receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits, namely those from the local PRow network which interact with the Order Limits, the

- Boudicca Way Recreational Route, residential properties on the Order Limits boundary (along The Street, and B1257), and from the western edge of Hempnall. Beyond the immediate Order Limits generally effects will reduce as a result of intervening features which limit visibility of anticipated construction activity. **No Significant effects** are predicted from the settlement edge of Fritton.
- 7.8.20 At Site 6, visual receptors are identified as experiencing in the worst-case **Slight to Moderate** magnitude, resulting in **Minor to Moderate** levels of significance, with one **Significant effect** predicted as a result of the installation of the CRC.
- 7.8.21 At Site 7, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **Negligible to Major** magnitude, resulting in **Minor to Major to Substantial** levels of significance, and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. **Significant** effects are predicted for receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits, namely those from the local PRow network which interact with the Order Limits, the Boudicca Way Recreational Route, and residential properties within the immediate area, including the southern edge of Saxlingham Nethergate. Beyond the immediate Order Limits generally effects will reduce as a result of intervening features which limit visibility of the anticipated construction activity. **No Significant** effects are predicted from the settlement edges of Tasburgh, Saxlingham Green or Hempnall.
- 7.8.22 At Site 8, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **No Change to Major** magnitude, resulting in **No Change to Major to Substantial** levels of significance, and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. **Significant** effects are predicted for receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits, namely those from the local PRow network which interact with the Site, and residential properties adjacent to the Order Limits boundary. A **Major to Substantial** level and **Significant** effect is predicted from the edge of King's Farm on Brooke Road, due to proximity of the Scheme to this receptor and the potential for a dominant change which would substantially alter the view. Beyond the immediate Order Limits generally effects will reduce as a result of intervening features which limit visibility of the anticipated construction activity. **No Significant** effects are predicted from the Boudicca Way Recreational Route or Saxlingham Green.
- 7.8.23 At Site 9, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **No Change to Major** magnitude, resulting in **No Change to Major to Substantial** levels of significance, and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. **Significant** effects are predicted for receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits, namely those from the local PRow network which interact with the Order Limits, and residential properties within the immediate area including the settlement edge of Brooke, properties along Mill Lane and to the south of High Green. Beyond the immediate Order Limits generally effects will reduce as a result of intervening features which limit visibility of the anticipated construction activity.

- 7.8.24 At Site 10, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **Negligible to Major** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible to Major** levels of significance, and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. **Significant** effects are limited to those on the Order Limits boundary, namely those from the local road (Harvey's Lane) and PRoW network where there are open views to the Order Limits or the receptor has direct interaction with the Order Limits. Generally visual effects from receptors are considered **Not Significant** due to a combination of landform and intervening vegetation, and also the lack of visual receptors within close proximity to the Order Limits. Beyond the immediate Order Limits generally visual effects will reduce, and only **Minor** effects are anticipated from nearby settlements of Seething and Woodton.
- 7.8.25 Along the CRC, visual receptors are identified as experiencing **No Change to Major** magnitude, resulting in **No Change to Major** levels of significance, and a range of **Not Significant** and **Significant** effects. Generally, **Significant** effects are anticipated where the receptor is in close proximity to the CRC or where there is combined visibility of the CRC construction activity and construction activity within other Sites. Where the CRC is remote from other Sites effects are reduced given the nature of the anticipated low level and brief activities.
- 7.8.26 The visual assessment has established that with closer proximity to the Order Limits effects are increased and with distance these reduce as a result of the landscape pattern and its intervening layers of vegetation. Whilst the Scheme has applied the mitigation hierarchy firstly avoiding effects through excluding development from part of the Order Limits, and maximising distance of the development from receptors with consideration of the project level design principles, there are instances where receptors results in the potential for short term and reversible effects during the construction phase. Mitigation measures have therefore been applied to reduce the potential scale of those effects.
- 7.8.27 Mitigation measures which include landscape planting as shown on Figure 2 Green Infrastructure Strategy within Appendix 2 of the **Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [EN0110014/APP/6.4]** will be implemented during the construction phase however these will not provide the visual screening function for which they are intended until the operational phase of the Scheme and therefore do not mitigate these short-term reversible effects. The **Outline Construction and Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [EN0110014APP/7.1]** defines a series of measures to be adhered to during construction which aid to mitigate potential effects of the Scheme, such as retention and protection of landscape features, and installation of temporary hoarding to reduce visibility of construction.

Operation and Maintenance Phase (Year 1)

- 7.8.28 Potential landscape and visual effects arising from the Scheme during the operation and maintenance phase (including maintenance) may comprise:
- **Long-Term** reversible effects to landscape character, features and visual amenity as a result of the change from an agriculture landscape (predominantly arable) to an agricultural landscape with solar and associated infrastructure.
 - **Small-scale** reversible loss of field boundary hedgerows (undertaken during the construction phase) to facilitate access to the Order Limits.
 - **Medium to Long-Term** permanent effects resulting from the introduction and establishment of new landscape measures and features.
- 7.8.29 Generally, the level of effect would reduce during operation given that activity associated with the construction of the Scheme would have ceased, and any temporary construction compounds would be removed, leaving only the built form elements of the Scheme in situ.
- 7.8.30 Mitigation planting would be in place in Year 1 but would not yet be established. As a result, it would provide only limited filtering or screening properties at this stage.
- 7.8.31 The following provides a summary of potential landscape and visual effect with relevance to the Scheme which could be experienced as a result of the operation of the Scheme:

BESS and Substations (132kV, 400kV, and National Grid)

- Direct loss of landscape features within the area developed.
- Increase urbanising influences by introducing forms with industrial characteristics in a rural and relatively open landscape.
- Introduction of occasional maintenance vehicles, ancillary activities and security lighting associated with the BESS and Substations operation which will increase human activity within the rural landscape.

Solar PV panels

- Solar PVs will change the land use of the predominantly agricultural landscape into an energy production landscape.
- Solar PVs maybe visible in open landscapes, on slope or where overlooked.
- Solar PVs could appear blue or grey dependent on weather conditions, creating contrast with surrounding landscape and agriculture features.

- The presence of PV panels and associated infrastructure may increase the perceived human influence on the landscape and change land cover patterns of rural landscapes.
- Solar PV structures could influence the remote or tranquil quality of some landscapes.
- The regular edges of solar PV developments may appear conspicuous within a more irregular landscape, for example when contrasting with irregular field boundaries and contours.
- The height of the panels are likely to over-sail typical hedgerow field boundaries.
- Landscape planting around solar PV development could change the sense of enclosure within the open landscape and obscure longer distance views.
- Large scale woodland planting, grassland improvement and hedgerow planting could enhance biodiversity of a field and improve habitats.
- Introduction of fencing and CCTV into a rural landscape could increase the human influences on the landscape
- Solar PV across multiple fields could have impact on experience of PRoW and sequential views when moving through a landscape.

Cable Route

- Short term visual effects on landscape as reinstatement measures establish overtime.

Overhead Lines

- Introduction of additional tall features into the landscape, and could create sky lining effects.

7.8.32 During the operation of the Scheme, the replacement of equipment, such as PV panels will occur. The likely significant effects of this are considered to be no greater than reported during the Construction Phase. Furthermore, as a result of the implementation of the proposed landscape measures for the Scheme, effects on landscape and visual amenity are likely to be much less. For this reason, replacement of equipment, are not considered further within this assessment.

Landscape

7.8.33 **Table 7.7** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effects for the landscape receptors during operation Year 1. For further detail please refer

to **ES: Appendix 7.3 Landscape Assessment Tables**
[EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.3].

Table 7.7: Summary of Landscape Effects – Operation Phase (Year 1)

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
Landscape Designations				
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Negligible	Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Negligible	Indirect Adverse	Minor Not significant
National Landscape Character				
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
District Landscape Character Areas				
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Landscape Character and Features of the Order Limits				
BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 1	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 3	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 4	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 5	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 6	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
Site7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 8	High	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 9	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
CRC4	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
CRC6	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
CRC7	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
CRC9	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
CRC13	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

7.8.34 In summary, the significant landscape effects identified for Year 1 primarily arise from the change in land use and the associated influence resulting from the introduction of solar PV arrays, pylons and substations within a predominantly rural and agricultural landscape. The Scheme also affects the recreational value, experiential qualities and perceived tranquillity of the landscape. Although the landscape mitigation would not yet be established in Year 1, there would be some beneficial effects resulting from the transition from arable farmland to species-rich grassland, as well as from the provision of enhanced accessible community spaces and new permissive paths.

7.8.35 Following completion of construction, a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Major** level of significance and **Significant Effect** is predicted to remain for the landscape character and features of the BESS Site (including CRC1 and CRC2), Site 1 and Site 8. The significance arises as the BESS Site and Site 1 are recipient locations for large-scale energy infrastructure, resulting in a total change to the key characteristics of these Sites which will include new development which out of with the attributes of the receiving landscape. Site 8 would experience a partial change to the key characteristics of the receptor but a Major level of significance due to the increased landscape sensitivity.

7.8.36 LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland, LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland and LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau are predicted to experience a **Slight to Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore **Significant** Effects. These LCAs would be subject to direct

influence from the Scheme across parts of their overall extents, leading to a partial change in their key characteristics.

- 7.8.37 Landscape effects on landscape character and features of Site 2 (including CRC3), Site 3, Site 4, Site 5, Site 7, (including CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11 and CRC12), Site 9, and Site 10 and (including CRC14) are predicted to experience **Moderate** magnitude, and a **Moderate** level of significance, which is considered **Significant**. The landscape character and features of these Sites would be subject to direct influence from the Scheme, leading to a partial change in their key characteristics.
- 7.8.38 In respect of the CRC, it is anticipated that agricultural fields affected will be restored and that there would be **Negligible** magnitude within these areas, and **Minor to Negligible** levels of significance, which are **Not Significant**. Any remaining effects would be very small and would be the result of replacement vegetation that has not yet re-established.
- 7.8.39 It is anticipated that the magnitude on NCA 83: South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands, Boudicca Way Recreational Route, Via Beata Recreational Route, LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley, LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland will reduce to **Minor** and **Negligible** levels of significance which are **Not Significant**. This is a result of construction activity ceasing and the extent of affected areas within these receptors reducing, resulting in only a limited change to the key characteristics of these receptors.
- 7.8.40 LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland are predicted to continue to experience **Negligible** magnitude, and **Negligible** levels of significance and **Not Significant** effect, as this LCA would not be directly affected by the Scheme after the construction phase.
- 7.8.41 Following the end of the construction activities, it is anticipated that there will be **no change** on the landscape character and features of Site 6.

Visual (People’s Views and Visual Amenity)

- 7.8.42 **Table 7.8** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effect for the visual receptors and on visual amenity during operation Year 1. For further detail please refer to **Appendix 7.7 Visual Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.7]**.

Table 7.8: Summary of Effects on Visual Amenity– Operation Phase (Year 1)

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
BESS Site					
VL1 (a/b/c)	PRoW Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL2	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	Origin of PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL9	Property at Station Road	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
Site 1					
VL1	PRoW Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2 (a/b)	Junction of PRoW Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL3	SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Major	Direct Adverse	Major to Substantial Significant
VL4	PRoW Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Wacton FP3	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Woodstock Lane	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL8	Tibenham FP23	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL9	Aslacton FP13	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 2					
VL1	PRoW Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRoW Wacton RB40)	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL2	Junction of PRow Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	A140 Ipswich Road south west of French's Farm	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRow Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL5	PRow Pulham Market FP6	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6(a/b)	PRow Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL7	Junction of PRow Tivetshall St Mary FP6/FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Carpenter Walk / PRow Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 3					
VL1	Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	PRow Shelton FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	PRow Hempnall FP29	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	PRow Hempnall FP28	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL5	PRow Hempnall FP25	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	PRow Shelton FP11	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Stepping Lane	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Spring Lane, PRow Hempnall FP24	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 4					
VL1	PRow Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL2	PRow Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL4	Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL8	PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL9	PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL12	Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 5					
VL1	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL3	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5 north of junction with FP9	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5(a/b)	Origin of PRoW Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRoW Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL8	Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
Site 6					
VL1	PRoW Hempnall FP12	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	PRoW Hempnall FP11	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Low	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP14	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 7					
VL1	Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL2	Junction with Boudicca Way/PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Junction with PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL8	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL10	Fylands Road	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	PRoW Shotesham FP21	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL12	Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL14	Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Major	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL15	Origin of PRoW Hempnall FP5	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL16	Junction of PRoW Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL17	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL18	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL19	PRoW Hempnall BR30	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL20	PRoW Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL21	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 8					
VL1	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	PRoW Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL5	Junction of PRoW Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Major	Direct Adverse	Major Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL7	PRoW Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL9	Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Brooke Road, edge of Upgate Green Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL11	Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
Site 9					
VL1	PRoW Brooke FP6	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL2	Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL6	Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Highfield Lane	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 10					
VL1	Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Upgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL5	PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
CRC					
VL1	Common Road near Parish Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL4	Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL6	Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRoW Morningthorpe FP21	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	PRoW Hempnall FP31	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Hempnall FP20	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Major Significant
VL10	PRoW Morningthorpe	High	No Change	N/A	No Change

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
	FP33/Boudicca Way				
VL11	PRoW Hempingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL12	PRoW Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL14	PRoW Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL15	Southern origin of PRoW Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL16	PRoW Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL17	Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change

7.8.43 The visual effect in Year 1 would result from the presence of the Scheme rather than from construction activities. The visual effect would be relatively large, especially in close-range views, because the landscape mitigation measures would not yet be fully established.

7.8.44 At the BESS Site, VL1 is predicted to experience a **Major** magnitude and **Major** effect which is **Significant**, and VL9 is predicted to experience a **Moderate** magnitude resulting in a **Major, Significant effect**. VL2, VL3, VL4, VL5 and VL8 is predicted to result in a **Moderate** magnitude and a **Moderate, Significant** effect as a result of the clearly noticeable visual change. Significant effects would be experienced by receptors located within the Site or immediately adjacent to it. VL6 would reduce to a **Minor, Not Significant** effect, and VL7 would reduce to a **Negligible, Not Significant** effect.

7.8.45 At Site 1, VL3 is predicted to continue to experience a **Major** magnitude resulting in a **Major to Substantial, Significant** effect. This is due to the sensitivity associated with the residential receptors at Great Moulton and the visual effects resulting from the National Grid Substation. VL2 is predicted to experience a **Major** magnitude and a **Major, Significant** effect, as the Scheme would surround this receptor, resulting in a substantial change to its composition. VL4 is predicted to experience a **Moderate** magnitude and a

- Moderate, Significant** effect due to the clearly noticeable change. VL1 is predicted to reduce to a **Slight** magnitude and resulting in a **Minor, Not Significant** effect, and VL5 is predicted to reduce to a **Negligible** magnitude and a **Not Significant** effect. VL6–VL9 would experience **No Change** as the Scheme would be difficult to discern.
- 7.8.46 At Site 2, VL4 and VL6 would experience a **Major** magnitude of effect, resulting in **Major, Significant** effects. VL5 would experience a **Moderate** magnitude resulting in a **Major and Significant** effect. These effects arise due to the proximity of the view locations to the Scheme and lack of intervening landscape features. VL8 would experience a **Moderate** magnitude and a **Moderate, Significant** effect as a result of the clearly noticeable change. VL3 would reduce to a **Moderate** magnitude of effect, resulting in a **Minor and Not Significant** effect, given the low sensitivity of the receptor (A140). VL7 would reduce to a **Negligible** magnitude and a **Not Significant** effect, due to the distance of the view location, where the Scheme would be difficult to discern.
- 7.8.47 At Site 3, VL8 would experience a **Moderate** magnitude and a **Significant** effect. VL4 would continue to experience a **Major** magnitude and a **Significant** effect, while VL5 would continue to experience a **Moderate** magnitude and a **Significant** effect. These effects arise due to the close proximity of the receptors to the Scheme. VL2, VL3 and VL7 would reduce to a **Negligible** magnitude and remain a **Negligible, Not Significant** effect, as the Scheme would be difficult to discern.
- 7.8.48 At Site 4, VL7 and VL8 would continue to experience a **Major** magnitude and would remain as **Major, Significant** effects, as these receptors are located close to the Order Limit or within Site 4. VL4, VL6 and VL12 would experience a **Slight** magnitude and a **Moderate** level of significance and would remain **Significant** effects. These receptors are located further from the Scheme but would have visibility of it due to the limited presence of intervening vegetation. VL2 would experience a **Minor, Not Significant** effect. The effects on VL3, VL9 and VL10 would reduce to a **Minor, Not Significant** effects, as these more distant receptors would be less affected.
- 7.8.49 At Site 5, VL2 and VL5 would continue to experience a **Major** level of effect and would remain **Significant**. VL2 is located close to the Order Limit with views towards the substation, and VL5 is associated with a more sensitive residential receptor. VL4 would continue to experience a **Moderate** level of effect and would remain **Significant**, as this receptor is surrounded by the Scheme. VL8 would also continue to experience a **Major** level of effect and would remain **Significant** due to the visual influence of the solar PV arrays on a sensitive residential receptor. VL6 and VL3 would continue to experience a **Moderate** level of effect and remain **Significant**, as these receptors are close to the Order Limit and there is limited intervening vegetation to provide screening. VL1 and VL7 would continue to experience **Not Significant** effects.

- 7.8.50 At Site 6, only VL2 would have a **Negligible** level of change and a **Not Significant** effect, as vegetation loss after construction would be visible from this location. All other view locations would experience **No Change** due to the absence of above-ground infrastructure at this location.
- 7.8.51 At Site 7, VL1 would result in a **Major** level of significance and a **Significant** effect because, although the level of activity would reduce, the effect would still result in a clearly noticeable change. VL7, VL9, VL16 and VL21 would result in **Moderate** level of significance and **Significant** effects. VL20 would experience a **Major** level of significance and a **Significant** effect. VL5 and VL17 would experience a **Major** level of significance and a **Significant** effect. VL11 and VL14 would result in a **Moderate** level of significance and **Significant** effects. These effects arise due to the proximity of these receptors to the Order Limits or their position within the Order Limits. At VL13, the effect would result in a **Minor** level of significance and a **Not Significant** effect because a perceptible change would remain. VL2, VL3, VL4, VL6, VL8, VL10, VL12, VL18 and VL19 would experience **Not Significant** effects.
- 7.8.52 At Site 8, VL11 is predicted to reduce to a **Major** level of significance but remain a **Significant** effect. This is due to the sky lining effect from the Solar PV arrays. VL4 and VL6 are predicted to continue to be at a **Major** level of significance and therefore remain **Significant** effects. VL5 and VL8 are predicted to be reduce to a **Moderate** levels of significance but still remain **Significant** effects. VL2 is predicted to remain at a **Moderate** level of significance and a **Significant** effect. VL10 is predicted to remain at a **Moderate** level of significance and a **Significant** effect, consistent with the operation-phase assessment. These effects arise due to the proximity of these receptors to the Order Limits or their position within the Order Limits. VL3, VL7 and VL9 will remain as **Not Significant** effects.
- 7.8.53 At Site 9, VL5 would reduce to a **Major** level of significance and would remain a **Significant** effect. This results from the reduced level of activity; however, the Scheme would remain largely unscreened from this location. VL1, VL2 and VL3 would all reduce to a **Moderate** level of significance and would remain **Significant** effects. These effects arise due to the proximity of these receptors to the Order Limits or their position within the Order Limits. VL4, VL6 and VL7 would continue to experience **Not Significant** effects or **No Change**.
- 7.8.54 At Site 10, VL5 and VL10 would reduce to a **Moderate** level of significance and would remain **Significant** effects. Although the level of activity would reduce, these view locations would still have visibility of the Scheme due to their proximity to the Order Limits. The remaining view locations would continue to experience **Not Significant** effects or **No Change**.
- 7.8.55 Residual effects from the construction phase would remain visible from certain CRC view locations, particularly where vegetation has been removed and has not yet re-established. These include VL1, VL2, VL4 to VL8, and

VL12 to VL14. All of these view locations are predicted to experience **Minor to Negligible** levels of significance, and all effects are predicted reduce to **Not Significant** effects. VL9 is predicted to experience a **Major** level of significance and a **Significant** effect, as this results from visual effects of Site 5 and the 400kV substation which would be sky lining. The remaining view locations are predicted to experience **No Change** from the baseline as construction activities cease.

Operation and Maintenance Phase (Year 15)

7.8.56 Fifteen years into operation, with continued growth and maturation of vegetation within the Scheme and surrounding areas, it is anticipated that the effects of the Scheme will, in some instances, further reduce compared to those reported at completion. The proposed landscape mitigation would lessen effects on landscape receptors by enhancing the recreational experience and reducing the perceived human influence within the landscape. For visual receptors and visual amenity, whilst a softer visual appearance of the Scheme is expected from certain viewpoints, some built elements will remain visible against the skyline, resulting in adverse effects as reported for Year 15 of operation.

Landscape

7.8.57 **Table 7.9** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effects for the landscape receptors during operation Year 15. For further detail please refer to **ES: Appendix 7.3 Landscape Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.3]**.

Table 7.9: Summary of Landscape Effects – Operation Phase (Year 15)

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
Landscape Designations				
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Negligible	Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Negligible	Indirect Adverse	Minor Not significant
National Landscape Character				
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
District Landscape Character Areas				
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Landscape Character and Features of the Order Limits				
BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 1	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 3	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 4	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 5	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 6	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 8	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 9	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
CRC4	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
CRC6	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
CRC7	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
CRC9	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
CRC13	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change

7.8.58 In summary, following the establishment of landscape mitigation, the magnitude experienced will generally decrease. The proposed landscape mitigation would begin to perform its intended environmental function,

helping to integrate the development into its setting and reducing the visibility of the Scheme. The mitigation would also deliver beneficial effects, including enhancement of landscape character through the reinforcement of woodland belts and hedgerows, which positively respond to improving habitat connectivity and supporting biodiversity gain.

- 7.8.59 However, Site 1 will continue to experience a **Major** magnitude. This will result in a **Major** level of significance, and a **Significant** effect. This is due to the scale of the proposed infrastructure in Site 1, with the Scheme continuing to result in a total change to some of the key characteristics of Site 1. The presence of established mitigation measures, together with retention of existing features will reinforce characteristic features which fit with the prevailing character, and respond positively to overarching landscape guidelines, leading to a reduction in the size and scale of reported effects, and therefore effects would be localised and confined to the Site and its immediate context. As such, the overall landscape character would remain largely intact.
- 7.8.60 The magnitude on the landscape character and features at the BESS Site (including CRC1 and CRC2) and Site 8 would be reduced resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance, which would remain **Significant**. The landscape character and features of Site 7 (including CRC8, CRC10, CRC11 and CRC12) would continue to experience a **Moderate** level of significance, which would also remain **Significant**. Although the mitigation would support integration of the Scheme into its setting and reduce its visibility within the wider landscape, a partial change to key characteristics would persist.
- 7.8.61 LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland and LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland, will continue to experience **Moderate** levels of significance and **Significant** effects. A partial change to the key characteristics of these LCAs resulting from the Scheme would persist.
- 7.8.62 The magnitude at LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland is predicted to reduce to **Slight** or **Negligible**, which will result in a **Minor** level of significance and a **Non-Significant** effect. The establishment of landscape mitigation would lessen the Scheme's influence on the overall LCA and reduce the degree of change to its key characteristics.
- 7.8.63 The magnitude on the landscape character and features at Site 2 (including CRC3), Site 3, Site 4, Site 5, Site 9 and Site 10 (including CRC14) is predicted to reduce, which will result in a **Minor** level of significance and a **Non-Significant** effect. The effect of the Scheme would result in limited change to the key characteristics by Year 15. Direct effects would be contained to the Site and indirect effects generally limited to areas adjacent to the Site. It is considered the key characteristics (agricultural landscape and landform) will be preserved albeit these masked by the Scheme.
- 7.8.64 The remaining landscape receptors will continue to experience **Non-Significant** effects. The landscape character and features at the CRC Sites

will reduce to **No Change** by Year 15, as these sites will have been restored to conditions similar to the baseline.

Visual (People’s Views and Visual Amenity)

7.8.65 **Table 7.10** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effect for the visual receptors and on visual amenity during operation Year 15. For further detail please refer to **ES: Appendix 7.7 Visual Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.7]**.

Table 7.10: Summary of Visual Effects – Operation Phase (Year 15)

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
BESS Site					
VL1 (a/b/c)	PRoW Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL7	Origin of PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	Property at Station Road	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 1					
VL1	PRoW Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2 (a/b)	Junction of PRoW Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL4	PRoW Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Wacton FP3	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Woodstock Lane	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL8	Tibenham FP23	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL9	Aslacton FP13	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 2					
VL1	PRoW Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRoW Wacton RB40)	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Junction of PRoW Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	A140 Ipswich Road south west of French's Farm	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Pulham Market FP6	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL6(a/b)	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	Junction of PRoW Tivetshall St Mary FP6/FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Carpenter Walk / PRoW Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 3					
VL1	Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Shelton FP11	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL7	Stepping Lane	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 4					
VL1	PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL8	PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL9	PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL10	Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL12	Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 5					
VL1	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
	north of junction with FP9				
VL5(a/b)	Origin of PRoW Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	PRoW Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 6					
VL1	PRoW Hempnall FP12	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	PRoW Hempnall FP11	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL3	Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP14	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 7					
VL1	Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL2	Junction with Boudicca Way/PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Junction with PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL7	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL9	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Fylands Road	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	PRoW Shotesham FP21	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL12	Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL14	Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL15	Origin of PRoW Hempnall FP5	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL16	Junction of PRoW Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL17	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL18	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL19	PRoW Hempnall BR30	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL20	PRoW Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL21	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 8					
VL1	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	PRoW Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL5	Junction of PRow Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	Origin of PRow Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRow Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL9	Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL10	Brooke Road, edge of Upgate Green Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 9					
VL1	PRow Brooke FP6	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRow Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRow Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Highfield Lane	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 10					
VL1	Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL3	Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL4	Uppgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Uppgate Road	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
CRC					
VL1	Common Road near Parish Farm	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL3	Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL4	Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL5	PRoW Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL6	Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRoW Morningthorpe FP21	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	PRoW Hempnall FP31	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL8	PRoW Hempnall FP20	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL10	PRoW Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL11	PRoW Hempingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL12	PRoW Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL14	PRoW Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL15	Southern origin of PRoW Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL16	PRoW Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL17	Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change

- 7.8.66 Overall, the visual effects assessed for Year 1 are predicted to diminish by Year 15 as the landscape mitigation establishes and provides filtering and screening benefits. However, in certain locations the vegetation will influence the open visual character of the view and / or some views are expected to remain affected with visibility of the Scheme remaining.
- 7.8.67 At the BESS Site, VL9 effects will reduce to a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance, and a **Significant** effect. This is due to the high sensitivity of the residential receptor and the way in which the screening vegetation alters the open character of the view. Effects on VL1, VL4 and VL5 will reduce to **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor, Not Significant** effects. Effects on VL2, VL3, VL6, VL7 and VL8 will reduce to **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible, Not Significant** effects.
- 7.8.68 At Site 1, VL2 will experience a **Moderate** magnitude resulting in a **Moderate, Significant** effect. VL3 will experience a **Slight** magnitude resulting in a **Moderate, Significant** effect. These view locations would continue to be affected by views of the National Grid Substation and Project Substation, despite the mitigation planting. The effect on VL4 will reduce to a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in a **Minor, Not Significant** effect. VL1 and VL5 will continue to experience **Not Significant** effects.

- 7.8.69 At Site 2, no significant visual effects are predicted. Effects at VL2, VL4, VL6 and VL8 will reduce to a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor, Not Significant** effects. VL5 will reduce to a **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in a **Negligible, Not Significant effect**. VL1 and VL7 will continue to experience a **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** and **Negligible** levels of significance respectively, and therefore **Not Significant** effects, while VL3 will experience a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in a **Minor, Not Significant effect**.
- 7.8.70 At Site 3, VL4 which is located within the Order Limits will continue to experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate, Significant** effect. Although the mitigation planting will effectively screen the Scheme, the screening vegetation would affect the open character of the view at this location. VL5 and VL8 will reduce to a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects. VL2, VL3 and VL7 will continue to experience **Not Significant** effects. No Change will be experienced at VL1 and VL6.
- 7.8.71 At Site 4, VL7 and VL8 will reduce to a **Moderate** magnitude, with the level of significance reducing to **Moderate** but remaining a **Significant** effect. Although the mitigation would provide screening in these locations, it would not entirely screen the Scheme due to the receptors being located within / adjacent to the Order Limits. VL12 will also remain at a **Moderate** level of significance and continue to represent a **Significant** effect, due to the sensitivity of the receptor. VL2, VL4 and VL6 will reduce to a **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible** or **Minor** levels of significance and therefore becoming **Not Significant** effects. VL1, VL3, VL9, VL10 and VL11 will continue to experience **Not Significant** effects, with No Change continuing to be experienced at VL5.
- 7.8.72 At Site 5, no significant visual effects are predicted. Effects at VL2, VL3, VL4, VL5, VL6 and VL8 will reduce in magnitude to **Slight** or **Negligible**, resulting in **Minor** levels of significance and therefore becoming **Not Significant**. VL1 and VL7 will continue to experience **Not Significant** effects.
- 7.8.73 At Site 6, all view locations will continue to experience **No Change**.
- 7.8.74 At Site 7, VL1, VL5, VL11, VL17 and VL21 will experience **Slight** or **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore remaining **Significant**. These effects arise due to the adjacency of these receptors to the Order Limits or their position within the Order Limits. Furthermore, at these locations the mitigation planting would influence the openness of these views which has an influence on the receptor's visual amenity. VL7, VL9, VL16 and VL20 will experience **Negligible** or **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects. VL2, VL3, VL4, VL6, VL8, VL10, VL12, VL13, VL14, VL15, VL18 and VL19 will continue to experience **Not Significant** effects.

- 7.8.75 At Site 9, VL5 will reduce to a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and a **Significant** effect. This as a result of the immediate adjacency of the receptor to the Order Limits and its sensitivity. VL1 will experience a **Slight** magnitude, and VL2 and VL3 a **Negligible** magnitude, all resulting in a **Minor** level of significance and **Not Significant** effects. VL4 will remain a **Not Significant** effect, while VL6 and VL7 will continue to experience No Change.
- 7.8.76 At Site 10, all view locations will experience Not Significant effects or No Change. VL5 and VL10 will experience **Slight** magnitude resulting in **Minor, Not Significant** effects.
- 7.8.77 For the CRC the majority of view locations will experience **No Change**, as the CRC will be restored by Year 15. Viewpoints VL8 and VL12 will experience **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible, Not Significant effects**. VL9 will experience a Slight magnitude, resulting in a Moderate level of significance and will therefore remain a Significant effect.

Decommissioning Phase

- 7.8.78 A similar scale of activities to that of the construction stage is expected to occur, but generally in reverse with the removal of the Scheme elements. The National Grid Substation and the Grid Connections Scheme elements will remain in situ along with the established landscape mitigation planting (woodland, trees, and hedgerows) which will continue to provide screening benefits when compared to the baseline scenario.
- 7.8.79 Currently, leaving the cable route in situ is the environmentally preferred option, but in a worst case scenario a series of brief activities associated with the cable removal may occur with very localised effects where the ground is opened up to remove the cable.

Landscape

- 7.8.80 **Table 7.11** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effects for the landscape receptors during decommissioning Phase. For further detail please refer to **ES: Appendix 7.3 Landscape Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.3]**.

Table 7.11: Summary of Landscape Effects – Decommissioning Phase

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
Landscape Designations				
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Negligible	Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not significant
National Landscape Character				
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
District Landscape Character Areas				
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Landscape Character and Features of the Order Limits				
BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 1	Medium	Major	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 3	Medium	Slight	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 4	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 5	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 6	Medium	Negligible	Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
Site7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 8	High	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Major Significant
Site 9	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Moderate	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Moderate Significant
CRC4	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
CRC6	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect	Negligible

Landscape Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
			Adverse	Not Significant
CRC7	High	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Minor Not Significant
CRC9	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
CRC13	Medium	Negligible	Direct and Indirect Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

- 7.8.81 In summary, during the decommissioning phase, the magnitude of effects are predicted to increase on landscape receptors compared with Operation Year 15 due to the higher level of activity. These activities are however short term and reversible.
- 7.8.82 A **Major** magnitude, resulting in a **Major** level of significance and a **Significant** effect, is predicted for the landscape character and features of Site 1. This is due to the high intensity of decommissioning activities associated with the 400kV Project Substation, as well as indirect effects from the decommissioning activities at the BESS Site. The landscape character and features of Site 8 are predicted to experience a **Moderate** magnitude, which will result in a Major level of significance and therefore a **Significant** effect. This is a result of the higher sensitivity of Site 8.
- 7.8.83 LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland, LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau and the landscape character and features of Site 7 (including CRC8, CRC10, CRC11 and CRC12) are predicted to experience **Moderate** level of significance and **Significant** effects. These LCAs and Site 7 would experience increased levels of decommissioning activity, include decommissioning of substations and Site 7 is assumed to include a haul route during decommission phase (a reverse of construction phase activity).
- 7.8.84 During decommissioning, NCA 83: South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands is predicted to experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore **Significant** effect. This results from the direct effects of the Scheme and the widespread decommissioning activities anticipated within the NCA during this short-term phase.
- 7.8.85 The landscape character and features of the BESS Site, Site 4, Site 5, Site 9, and Site 10 (including CRC14) are predicted to experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore **Significant** effects. These effects arise from the decommissioning activities associated with Solar PV arrays Site 4, Site 5, Site 9, Site 10), BESS (BESS Site) and substations (BESS Site, Site 4, Site 5, and Site 10).

- 7.8.86 LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland is predicted to experience a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and a **Significant** effect. This is due to the sensitivity of the receptor and the decommissioning activities which are expected to partially affect the key characteristics of this receptor.
- 7.8.87 The assessment predicts that several landscape receptors will experience **Not Significant** effects during the decommissioning phase. These include the Boudicca Way and Via Beata Recreational Routes, both will be subject to **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Minor adverse** effects that are **Not Significant**. As these receptor would not be directly affected by the decommissioning activities.
- 7.8.88 LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley, LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland, and LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland will also experience **Negligible** magnitude, leading to **Minor adverse** effects that are **Not Significant**.
- 7.8.89 The landscape character and features of the Site 2, Site 3 and CRC3, will experience **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** adverse effects that are **Not Significant**, as this Sites will only have decommissioning activities associated with the Solar PV arrays.
- 7.8.90 In addition, several CRC areas (CRC4, CRC6, CRC7, CRC9 and CRC13) will experience a **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible** or **Minor** adverse effects that are **Not Significant**.

Visual (People’s Views and Visual Amenity)

- 7.8.91 **Table 7.12** provides a summary of the level of anticipated effect for the visual receptors and on visual amenity during decommissioning. For further detail please refer to **ES: Appendix 7.7 Visual Assessment Tables [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.7]**.

Table 7.12: Summary of Visual Effects – Decommissioning Phase

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
BESS Site					
VL1 (a/b/c)	PRoW Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL2	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	Origin of PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	Property at Station Road	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 1					
VL1	PRoW Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2 (a/b)	Junction of PRoW Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Wacton FP3	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Woodstock Lane	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL8	Tibenham FP23	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL9	Aslacton FP13	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 2					
VL1	PRoW Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRoW Wacton RB40)	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Junction of PRoW Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	A140 Ipswich Road south west of French's Farm	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Pulham Market FP6	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL6(a/b)	PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	Junction of PRoW Tivetshall St Mary FP6/FP7	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	Carpenter Walk / PRoW Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 3					
VL1	Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL2	PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	PRoW Shelton FP11	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL7	Stepping Lane	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
Site 4					
VL1	PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL8	PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL9	PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL10	Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL12	Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 5					
VL1	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL4	PRoW Morningthorpe FP5 north of junction with FP9	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5(a/b)	Origin of PRoW Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	PRoW Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRoW Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL8	Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 6					
VL1	PRoW Hempnall FP12	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL2	PRoW Hempnall FP11	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Hempnall FP14	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 7					
VL1	Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL2	Junction with Boudicca Way/PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL3	Junction with PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL4	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL8	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Fylands Road	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	PRoW Shotesham FP21	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL12	Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL14	Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL15	Origin of PRoW Hempnall FP5	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL16	Junction of PRoW Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL17	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL18	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL19	PRoW Hempnall BR30	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL20	PRoW Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL21	PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 8					
VL1	Junction of PRoW Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	High	No Change	N/A	No Change

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL2	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	PRoW Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL4	Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL5	Junction of PRoW Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	Origin of PRoW Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL7	PRoW Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL9	Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL10	Brooke Road, edge of Upgate Green Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
Site 9					
VL1	PRoW Brooke FP6	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	PRoW Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL6	Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	Highfield Lane	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
Site 10					
VL1	Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL2	Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL3	Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Upgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL5	PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL7	Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Negligible Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL10	Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Moderate	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
CRC					
VL1	Common Road near Parish Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL2	Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL3	Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL4	Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL5	PRoW Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL6	Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRoW Morningthorpe FP21	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL7	PRoW Hempnall FP31	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL8	PRoW Hempnall FP20	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL9	PRoW Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Slight	Direct Adverse	Moderate Significant
VL10	PRoW Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	High	Negligible	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL11	PRoW Hepingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	No change	N/A	No Change

View Location	View Location Name	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Effect	Type and Direction of Effect	Level of Significance of Effect
VL12	PRoW Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL13	PRoW Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL14	PRoW Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Slight	Direct Adverse	Minor Not Significant
VL15	Southern origin of PRoW Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL16	PRoW Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	No Change	N/A	No Change
VL17	Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	No Change	N/A	No Change

7.8.92 In summary, during the decommissioning phase the assessment predicts that the magnitude of visual effects will increase for some visual receptors compared with Operation Year 15, due to the higher level of activity. However, the overall magnitude will remain lower than during the construction phase, as the National Grid Substation will remain in situ and the cable route removal will be limited to localised effects where the ground is opened up to remove the cable, resulting in a lower level of activity across the Order Limits. In addition, some visual effects would be filtered or screened by the mitigation planting which will have established. The anticipated level of effect as summarised below.

7.8.93 At the BESS Site, VL1 and VL9 will experience **Moderate** and **Slight** magnitudes respectively, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance, and therefore **Significant** effects due to their proximity to the Order Limits and change in their visual composition. VL2, VL3, VL4, VL5 and VL6 will experience a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor, Not Significant** effects. VL7 and VL8 will experience a **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible, Not Significant** effects.

7.8.94 At Site 1, VL2 which is located within the Site will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore a **Significant** effect, while VL3 which is a receptor with elevated sensitivity will experience a **Slight** magnitude, also resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore a **Significant** effect. VL1 and VL4 will experience a Slight magnitude, resulting in a **Minor** level of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects, and VL5 will experience a **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in a **Negligible** level of significance and therefore a **Not Significant** effect. VL6, VL7, VL8 and VL9 will experience **No Change**.

- 7.8.95 At Site 2, VL4, VL5 and VL6 will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore **Significant** effects due to the changes to visual amenity as a result of a combination of the Scheme and proposed landscape mitigation measures. VL1, VL2, VL7 and VL8 will experience **Slight** or **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** or **Negligible** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects. VL3 will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Minor** level of significance and therefore a **Not Significant** effect.
- 7.8.96 At Site 3, VL4 will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore a **Significant** effect due to the changes to their visual composition. VL2, VL3, VL5 and VL8 will each experience a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects. VL7 will experience a **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in a **Negligible, Not Significant** effect. VL1 and VL6 will experience No Change.
- 7.8.97 At Site 4, VL3, VL4, VL6, VL7, VL8 and VL12 will experience a **Slight** or **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in **Moderate** levels of significance and therefore **Significant** effects. VL7 is located within the Order Limits, whilst other view locations are of increased sensitivity resulting in these levels of significance. VL1, VL2, VL9 and VL11 will experience **Negligible** or **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible** or **Minor, Not Significant** effects. VL10 will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Minor** level of significance and therefore a **Not Significant** effect. VL5 will experience No Change.
- 7.8.98 At Site 5, VL2, VL3 and VL6 which are located within or adjacent to the Order Limits will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in **Moderate** levels of significance and therefore **Significant** effects. VL5 and VL8 will experience a **Slight** magnitude due to intervening mitigation measures which will support visual screening of the Scheme, also resulting in **Moderate** levels of significance and therefore **Significant** effects. VL1, VL4 and VL7 will experience **Negligible** or **Slight** magnitudes, resulting in **Negligible** or **Minor** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects.
- 7.8.99 At Site 6, VL1 and VL3 will experience a **Negligible** magnitude, and VL2 will experience a **Slight** magnitude; all result in **Negligible** or **Minor, Not Significant** effects, and VL4 will continue to experience No Change.
- 7.8.100 At Site 7, VL5, VL11, VL13, VL16, VL17, VL20 and VL21 will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in **Moderate** levels of significance and therefore **Significant** effects. VL1 and VL7 will each experience a **Slight** magnitude, also resulting in **Moderate** levels of significance and therefore **Significant** effects. These effects a result of the proximity to the decommissioning activity with receptor either within or adjacent to the Order Limits. VL2, VL3, VL4, VL6, VL8, VL9, VL10, VL12, VL14, VL15, VL18 and VL19 will experience **Negligible** or **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** or **Negligible** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects.

- 7.8.101 At Site 8, VL6 which is located within the Order Limits will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore a **Significant** effect. VL2 and VL11 will experience a **Slight** magnitude, also resulting in **Moderate** levels of significance and therefore **Significant** effects as a result of the sensitivity of the residential receptors at these locations. VL3, VL4, VL5, VL7, VL8 and VL10 will experience **Negligible** or **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Negligible** or **Minor** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects. VL1 and VL9 will experience **No Change**.
- 7.8.102 At Site 9, VL2 and VL5 will each experience a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Moderate** levels of significance and therefore **Significant** effects as a result of proximity to and visibility of decommissioning activity in the view. VL1, VL3, VL4 and VL6 will experience **Slight** or **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects. VL7 will experience **No Change**.
- 7.8.103 At Site 10, VL10 which is located between Sub-Sites 10A and 10B will experience a **Moderate** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore a **Significant** effect. VL1, VL2, VL3, VL4, VL5, VL6, VL7, VL8 and VL9 will experience **Slight** or **Negligible** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** or **Negligible** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects.
- 7.8.104 At the CRC, VL1, VL2, VL4 and VL9 will experience a **Slight** magnitude, resulting in a **Moderate** level of significance and therefore **Significant** effects, with VL9 in particular having views of the decommissioning works at Site 5. VL3, VL5, VL6, VL7, VL8, VL10, VL12, VL13 and VL14 will experience **Negligible** or **Slight** magnitude, resulting in **Minor** or **Negligible** levels of significance and therefore **Not Significant** effects. VL11, VL15, VL16 and VL17 will experience **No Change**.

7.9 Additional Mitigation Measures

- 7.9.1 No additional landscape and visual mitigation and enhancement measures have been identified as necessary because achievable mitigation within the Scheme has already been included in the embedded mitigation as an integral part of the Scheme.

7.10 Residual Effects

- 7.10.1 In the absence of any additional mitigation, the residual effects are the same as the potential effects set out in **Section 7.8**.

7.11 Cumulative Effects Assessment

- 7.11.1 This section presents an assessment of cumulative effects between the Scheme and other existing and/or approved developments.

7.11.2 As set out in **ES: Chapter 2 EIA Methodology [EN0110014/APP6.1.2]**, a Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) has been undertaken as part of the EIA in accordance with PINS Advice on Cumulative Effects Assessment (September 2024) and has considered two types of cumulative effects.

- In combination effects: the combined effect generated by individual effects on a particular receptor (presented within **ES: Chapter 19 In-Combination Effects [EN0110014/APP/6.1.19]**; and
- Cumulative effects: effects generated by the Scheme and other planned or approved developments on the same receptor (presented in **ES Chapters 6 to 19**).

Cumulative Effects

7.11.3 Cumulative effects may arise as a result of effects associated with the Scheme combining with effects associated with other developments. The list of developments has been narrowed down to focus on those developments which are most likely to give rise to cumulative effects. A long-list was generated which was then refined following consultation with relevant local planning authorities, this short-list forms the basis of this assessment.

7.11.4 A short list of cumulative developments/allocations can be found in **ES: Appendix 2.4 Cumulative Schemes [EN0110014/APP/6.3.2.4]**.

Relevant Developments

7.11.5 Those developments which have the potential to result in cumulative effects on Landscape and Visual receptors within the associated Study Area are set out in **Table 7.13**. The list has been formed by considering those committed developments which:

- Are located within the identified published landscape character areas (within the Study Area).
- Interact with visual receptors in relation to the Scheme, which include residents, recreational users of PRoW / long-distance routes, and road users.

7.11.6 A total of 30 short-listed developments which lie beyond the Study Area have been discounted and are not considered further in this chapter. These developments are not shown to have intervisibility with the Scheme based on the ZTVs and / or are located in a different district landscape character areas, and therefore unlikely to result in significant cumulative landscape and visual effects.

Table 7.13: Committed Developments / Allocations relevant to Landscape & Visual

Planning Ref	Description	Distance from the Scheme
2018/0111	31.7 hectares of land to the east of the A140 seeking outline planning permission for 1275 no. dwellings, 8 hectares of employment land for uses within Classes B1, B2 and B8, 2-hectare primary school site, community facilities site, associated infrastructure and public open space. Together with application for full permission for a bypass [the Long Stratton Bypass] including roundabouts and junctions.	Adjacent to southern boundary of sub-Site 4B.
2023/3020	Erection of 7 x single storey dwellings, 1 x two storey dwelling, garages and construction of a vehicular access.	Approximately 200m north of Site 3.
2018/0112	Hybrid Application on 40.8 hectares of land to the west of the A140 seeking outline planning permission for 387 no. dwellings and 1.5 hectares of Class B1 employment land, associated infrastructure and public open space. Together with application for full planning permission for a western relief road. (This is essentially the same planning application as 2018/0111).	Approximately 200m south of sub-Site 4A.
2023/1386	Residential development for 50 dwellings with parking, landscaping and open space.	Approximately 400m west of sub-Site 10A.
2025/1689	Erection of a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and associated infrastructure including access, drainage, landscaping and other incidental works	Approximately 900m north of sub-Site 1B.
2025/1150	Outline planning application with all matters reserved (except access) for the erection of up to 36 dwellings, including amenity space, landscaping and all associated infrastructure.	1.7km north-west of sub-Site 1B
2025/2930	Development of 45 affordable residential dwellings together with associated public open space, landscaping, highways and engineering works.	1.9km south-west of sub-Site 4A
2014/0562	Solar development approved in 2014 and now Operational. This is not considered further within the cumulative assessment in this Chapter.	Directly west of sub-Site 4A
2024/1495	Screening opinion sought on BESS development.	2km southwest of sub-Site 4A
2024/3817	Solar photovoltaic (PV) farm development with ancillary infrastructure, security fencing and access.	Directly south of the NG Substation Site

Cumulative Effects Assessment

7.11.7 The cumulative landscape and visual effects assessment take a proportionate approach which only considers the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme which is a long-term duration. The cumulative assessment does not consider the short-term effects of construction or decommissioning, as the timelines for construction of each committed development (and therefore the potential for overlap between the construction phase of the Scheme) are unclear. Decommissioning is applicable to the Scheme and not appropriate to all other forms of development such as housing which is considered permanent. Also, the

timing of decommissioning of the other schemes (where they are to be decommissioned) may not align.

Cumulative Landscape Effects

7.11.8 The cumulative assessment does not consider effects on NCA 83: South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands as no significant effects were predicted during the Operational Phase.

7.11.9 The following paragraphs therefore provide assessment of the potential cumulative effects which may occur within the identified published LCAs which may experience combined cumulative effects as a result of committed development and the Scheme.

LCA A1: Tas Rural River Valley

7.11.10 There are no committed developments within this LCA which would interact with the Scheme to the point where significant cumulative effects are likely to occur.

LCA B1: Tas Tributary Farmland

7.11.11 The following committed developments lie within this LCA and are therefore considered cumulatively with the Scheme:

- 2023/3020 (Residential);
- 2023/1386 (Residential);
- 2018/0112 (Hybrid – residential, commercial and infrastructure);
- 2024/1495 (BESS);
- 2025/1689 (BESS); and
- 2025/1150 (Residential).

7.11.12 This LCA contains the majority of the Scheme as outlined in **Paragraphs 4.4.2 to 4.4.4** within **ES: Appendix 7.2: Landscape Baseline [EN0110014/APP/6.3.7.2]**.

7.11.13 Key characteristics associated with this LCA include large open arable fields, framed open views, small blocks of deciduous woodland, transportation corridors, and settlement characterised by a small number of large villages.

7.11.14 The landscape assessment concluded that a moderate adverse and significant effect would result from the Scheme during operation (Yr.1 and Yr. 15). The introduction of the committed developments listed above in **Paragraph 7.11.13** would result in a limited increase in changes to key characteristics within the LCA as additional open arable land is lost,

however, given the overall scale of the LCA and that the majority of key characteristics associated with the LCA would not be materially affected, effects are not predicted to worsen from those detailed within the assessment of likely effects in **Section 7.8**. As such no cumulative significant landscape effects are predicted for this receptor.

LCA B4: Waveney Tributary Farmland

7.11.15 There are no committed developments within this LCA which would interact with the Scheme to the point where significant cumulative effects are likely to occur.

LCA B5: Chet Tributary Farmland

7.11.16 There are no committed developments within this LCA which would interact with the Scheme to the point where significant cumulative effects are likely to occur.

LCA C2: Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland

7.11.17 There are no committed developments within this LCA which would interact with the Scheme to the point where significant cumulative effects are likely to occur.

LCA E2: Great Moulton Plateau Farmland

7.11.18 The following committed developments lie within this LCA and are therefore considered cumulatively with the Scheme:

- 2024/3817 (Solar);
- 2025/2930 (Residential); and
- 2018/0111 (Mixed use).

7.11.19 This LCA contains Sites 1 and 2, with the southern part of Site 3 also within its extents. Key characteristics associated with this LCA include its flat, elevated plateau, extensive arable farmland, large-scale openness and exposure, isolated blocks of mixed woodland, large-scale development such as farm buildings and water towers, and scattered farmhouses.

7.11.20 The landscape assessment concluded that a moderate adverse and significant effect would result from the Scheme during operation (Yr.1 and Yr. 15) following medium to small-scale changes to tranquillity, human influence, and the existing skyline amongst others.

7.11.21 While the committed development 2024/3817 would result in a medium scale increase in solar development within the LCA in combination with the Scheme, given its proximity to the Scheme it is considered this would not result in an increase to the reported magnitude of landscape change

previously assessed across the wider LCA. Similarly, the remaining identified committed developments are in the proximity of existing residential / commercial interests, and therefore the assessment conclusions reached with regards to the Scheme would remain and would not increase. As such no cumulative significant landscape effects are predicted for this receptor.

Cumulative Visual Effects

7.11.22 Visual receptors considered to be sensitive to change regarding cumulative effects include recreational users of long-distance paths and PRow, and groups of residents who may experience in-combination visual effects. Road users are not considered as in general they are of low sensitivity to change given their transient nature and focus on the road rather than their surroundings.

Long Distance Trails Boudicca Way Recreational Route

7.11.23 For recreational users of the Boudicca Way, who are considered to be of high sensitivity, interaction with the identified committed developments would be limited.

7.11.24 No cumulative visual effects are predicted to users of the Boudicca Way as a result of other committed developments.

Via Beata Recreational Route

7.11.25 Although the Via Beata Recreational Route passes within relatively close proximity of the committed developments 2025/1150 and 2025/1689, potential visual interaction between them and the Scheme would be foreshortened by intervening features. There are no view locations in this area which lie directly on the Via Beata, however VL1 Site 2 and VL3 Site 1 lie just south and southwest respectively. No cumulative visual effects are predicted to users of the Via Beata as a result of other committed developments.

Public Rights of Way

7.11.26 There are numerous PRow throughout the study area(s), and the following paragraphs identify where these may interact with the Scheme and the short-listed committed developments, referring to any view locations where relevant.

Long Stratton FP7 (VL1 Site 4)

7.11.27 There is potential for visual interaction with the Scheme (sub-Site 4B) and 2018/0111 / 2018/0112 on PRow Long Stratton FP7 (represented by VL1 Site 4). The assessment within this Chapter concluded a negligible adverse magnitude for recreational receptors of a medium sensitivity in relation to

sub-Site 4B on this PRow. Given the nature of the committed development, which would introduce substantial areas of new planting along the PRow, it is likely that any combined visibility would be barely perceptible at worst-case, leading to a **negligible adverse** magnitude. As such no cumulative significant visual effects are predicted for this receptor.

Hempnall FP24 (VL8 Site 3)

7.11.28 There is potential for visual interaction with the Scheme (Site 3) and 2018/0824 on PRow Hempnall FP24 (represented by VL8 Site 3) which passes along the northern boundary of the committed site and ends directly east of Site 3's eastern boundary on Spring Lane. The assessment within this Chapter found there to be a major adverse significant effect on recreational receptors of medium sensitivity at Year 1 of operation, reducing to minor adverse and not significant effect by Year 15, largely as a result of mitigation planting.

7.11.29 For users of the PRow there would be a noticeable change with regards to 2018/0824 as it passes broadly centrally through the committed development. Users would then encounter the Scheme upon reaching Spring Lane, directly in their field of vision. This would result in an increase in visual change, particularly during Year 1 as a dominant visual change, therefore the judgement of a major adverse magnitude giving rise to a major adverse direct cumulative effect, which is considered significant, would remain for Year 1 of operation. At Year 15 of operation however, although the visual change in relation to 2018/0824 would remain, the visual change with regards to Site 3 would reduce, therefore the combined change would also reduce to **slight adverse** given the continuing partial change. This would give rise to a **minor adverse, direct cumulative effect, which is considered not significant**

Brooke FP6 (VL5 Site 9)

7.11.30 There is potential for visual interaction with the Scheme (Site 9) and 2023/3020 on PRow Brooke FP6 (represented by VL5 Site 9) which lies on the northern boundary of the Site. The PRow then traverses north towards the committed development and then east to reach High Green Gardens. The assessment within this Chapter found there to be a major adverse and significant effect at Year 1 of operation, reducing to a moderate adverse and significant effect at Year 15 in relation to the Scheme.

7.11.31 For medium sensitivity users of the PRow although there would be close views towards the Scheme as they pass through the Site, upon leaving the Site and traversing northwards there would be very limited views towards the committed development as a result of existing vegetation along the route. Should the committed development be visible for users, it would be viewed in the context of existing residential development within Brooke and therefore would incur a barely noticeable change overall. The change is therefore not predicted to be any worse than that predicted for recreational

receptors at VL5. As such no cumulative significant visual effects are predicted for this receptor.

Residential Receptors

7.11.32 For the purpose of the cumulative effects assessment, residential receptors are considered as settlements as a whole as opposed to individual properties. All residential receptors are considered to be of high sensitivity to the proposals.

Wacton

7.11.33 No cumulative visual effects are predicted to residential receptors within the settlement of Wacton as a result of other committed developments.

Great Moulton

7.11.34 No cumulative visual effects are predicted to residential receptors within the settlement of Great Moulton as a result of other committed developments.

Long Stratton

7.11.35 No cumulative visual effects are predicted for residential receptors within the settlement of Long Stratton due to interaction with other committed developments as a result of limited intervisibility or, in the case of 2025/2930 development of a similar type to the receptor which is seen as a logical settlement extension.

Tharston

7.11.36 No cumulative visual effects are predicted to residential receptors within the settlement of Tharston as a result of other committed developments.

Summary

7.11.37 The cumulative landscape and visual effects assessment identifies that no significant cumulative effects to receptors occur as a result of the Scheme and committed developments.

7.12 Conclusion

7.12.1 The chapter presents a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) for the Scheme, detailing its effects on landscape character, features and on visual amenity (as represented by representative view locations) throughout construction, operation and maintenance (year 1 and year 15), and decommissioning phases. It sets out baseline conditions, policy context, consultation, assessment methodology, mitigation measures, and likely effects of the Scheme.

- 7.12.2 The assessment concludes that construction effects are short-term and reversible, and occur as a result of increased activity, vehicle movements, temporary compounds, hedgerow loss, trenching, and temporary PRow closures. Significant adverse effects are predicted for several LCAs and sites, notably LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland, LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland, and the landscape character and features of the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Site, Site 1, and Site 7 due to Major disturbance. Moderate significant effects occur at Site 8 and others, with Minor or Negligible effects elsewhere. Visual receptors located within close proximity to construction activities experience significant adverse with effects diminishing with distance as a result of intervening layers of vegetation providing screening.
- 7.12.3 The operational phase introduces long-term changes as a result of the transition from an agricultural to energy production landscape, with direct loss of features and increased influences from infrastructure. Solar panels alter land cover and affect perceptions of remoteness and tranquillity. Landscape planting begins but provides limited screening in Year 1. Significant adverse landscape effects persist to the landscape character and features of BESS Site, Site 1, and Site 8, with moderate significant effects at LCA's B1 Tas Tributary Farmland, C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland and E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland and to the character and features of all Sites excluding Site 6 and the Cable Route Corridor Sites. Cable route areas largely restore with negligible residual effects. Visual effects generally lessen compared to construction but remain significant near the Order Limits, especially for residential and recreational receptors.
- 7.12.4 By Year 15, mitigation planting matures, reducing effects and enhancing integration. Most landscape receptors experience decreased effects, often minor or not significant. However, Site 1 continues to have major and significant adverse effects due to infrastructure scale, with the LCA's B1 Tas Tributary Farmland, LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland, the BESS Site, Site 7, and Site 8 maintain moderate and significant effects. Other LCAs and character and features of the other Sites reduce effects to minor or negligible. The cable route corridor Sites experience no change. Visual effects similarly diminish but remain significant at some locations, particularly near major infrastructure at the BESS Site, Site 1, Site 3, Site 4, Site 5, Site 7, Site 8, and Site 9.
- 7.12.5 Decommissioning involves activities similar in scale to construction but in reverse, with the National Grid Substation and established landscape mitigation planting remaining. Cable removal may cause localized disturbance. Effects increase compared to Operation Year 15 due to higher activity but are short-term and reversible. Site 1 is predicted to have major and significant effects; Site 8 has moderate magnitude but major significance. Other LCAs and sites experience moderate or slight magnitudes with varying significance. Some recreational routes and LCAs experience negligible and not significant effect.

7.12.6 The cumulative landscape and visual effects assessment identified that no significant cumulative effects to receptors occur as a result of the Scheme and committed developments.

Summary of Effects

7.12.7 Significant adverse residual landscape and visual effects concentrate at key Sites (BESS Site, Site 1, and Site 8 as a result of effects to visual amenity and the key landscape characteristics and features of the Sites), and local character at certain LCAs, particularly during construction and early operation. Mitigation planting and restoration reduce effects over time, but notable impacts remain near major infrastructure and sensitive receptors.

7.12.8 **Table 7.14** sets out a summary of the Landscape and Visual environmental effects across all phases to inform planning decisions.

Table 7.14: Summary of Residual Effects for Landscape and Visual

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Construction Phase					
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Landscape	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Landscape	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Landscape	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Landscape	Major	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 1	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 3	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 4	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 5	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 6	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 8	High	Landscape	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 9	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC4	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC6	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC7	High	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC9	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC13	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL1 (a/b/c) PRow Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL2 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL3 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL4 PRow Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL5 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL6 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL7 Origin of PRow Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL8 PRow Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL9 Property at Station Road	High	Visual Amenity	Major	Major to Substantial Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL1 PRow Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 1 – VL2 Junction of PRoW Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL3 SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Visual Amenity	Major	Major to Substantial Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL4 PRoW Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL5 PRoW Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL6 PRoW Wacton FP3	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL7 Woodstock Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL8 Tibenhams FP23	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL9 Aslacton FP13	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL1 PRoW Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRoW Wacton RB40)	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL2 Junction of PRoW Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL3 A140 Ipswich Road south- west of French's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Major	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL4 PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL5 PRoW Pulham Market FP6	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 2 – VL6 PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL7 Junction of PRoW Tivetshall St Mary FP6/FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL8 Carpenter Walk / PRoW Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL1 Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 3 – VL2 PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL3 PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL4 PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL5 PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL6 PRoW Shelton FP11	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL7 Stepping Lane	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL8 Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL1 PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL2 PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL3 Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 4 – VL4 Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL5 PRow Long Stratton FP2	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 4 – VL6 PRow Morningthorpe FP3	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL7 PRow junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL8 PRow Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL9 PRow Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL10 Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Visual Amenity	Major	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL11 Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL12 Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL1 PRow Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL2 PRow Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL3 PRow Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL4 PRow Morningthorpe FP5 north of	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
junction with FP9					
Site 5 – VL5 Origin of PRow Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL6 PRow Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL7 PRow Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL8 Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 6 – VL1 PRow Hempnall FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 6 – VL2 PRow Hempnall FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 6 – VL3 Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 6 – VL4 PRow Hempnall FP14	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 7 – VL1 Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Major	Major to Substantial Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL2 Junction with Boudicca Way/PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL3 Junction with PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL4 PRow Saxlingham	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Nethergate FP25					
Site 7 – VL5 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL6 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL7 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL8 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL9 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL10 Fylands Road	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL11 PRow Shotesham FP21	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL12 Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL13 PRow Woodton RB10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL14 Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Visual Amenity	Major	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL15 Origin of PRow Hempnall FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL16 Junction of PRow Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Nethergate FP27					
Site 7 – VL17 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL18 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL19 PRow Hempnall BR30	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL20 PRow Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL21 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL1 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL2 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL3 PRow Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL4 Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL5 Junction of PRow Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 8 – VL6 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL7 PRow Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL8 Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL9 Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL10 Brooke Road, edge of Upgate Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL11 Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Major	Major to Substantial Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL1 PRow Brooke FP6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL2 Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL3 Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL4 PRow Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL5 PRow Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Visual Amenity	Major	Major to Substantial Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL6 Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL7 Highfield Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 10 – VL1 Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL2 Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL3 Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL4 Upgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL5 PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 10 – VL6 Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL7 Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL8 PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL9 PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL10 Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL1 Common Road near Parish Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL2 Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL3 Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL4 Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL5 PRoW Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL6 Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRoW Morningthorpe FP21	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL7 PRoW Hempnall FP31	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL8 PRoW Hempnall FP20	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL9 PRoW Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL10 PRoW Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL11 PRoW Hepingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL12 PRoW Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL13 PRoW Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL14 PRoW Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL15 Southern origin of PRoW Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL16 PRoW Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL17 Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Operational Phase (Year 1)					
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Landscape	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 1	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 3	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 4	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 5	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 6	Medium	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change
Landscape character and feature of Site 7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 8	High	Landscape	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 9	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC4	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC6	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC7	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC9	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC13	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL1 (a/b/c) PRoW Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL2 PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL3 PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL4 PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL5 PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL6 PRoW Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL7 Origin of PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL8 PRoW Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL9 Property at Station Road	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL1 PRoW Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL2 Junction of PRoW Great	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Moulton FP15/RB18					
Site 1 – VL3 SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Visual Amenity	Major	Major to Substantial Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL4 PRow Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL5 PRow Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL6 PRow Wacton FP3	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL7 Woodstock Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL8 Tibenham FP23	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL9 Aslacton FP13	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 2 – VL1 PRow Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRow Wacton RB40)	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL2 Junction of PRow Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL3 A140 Ipswich Road south-west of French's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL4 PRow Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL5 PRow Pulham Market FP6	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL6 PRow Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 2 – VL7 Junction of PRoW Tivetshall St Mary FP6/FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL8 Carpenter Walk / PRoW Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL1 Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 3 – VL2 PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL3 PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL4 PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL5 PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL6 PRoW Shelton FP11	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 3 – VL7 Stepping Lane	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL8 Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL1 PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL2 PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL3 Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL4 Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 4 – VL5 PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 4 – VL6 PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL7 PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL8 PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL9 PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL10 Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL11 Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL12 Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL1 PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL2 PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL3 PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL4 PRoW Morningthorpe FP5 north of junction with FP9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 5 – VL5 Origin of PRow Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL6 PRow Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL7 PRow Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL8 Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 6 – VL1 PRow Hempnall FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 6 – VL2 PRow Hempnall FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 6 – VL3 Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 6 – VL4 PRow Hempnall FP14	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL1 Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL2 Junction with Boudicca Way/PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL3 Junction with PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL4 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 7 – VL5 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL6 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL7 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL8 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL9 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL10 Fylands Road	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL11 PRow Shotesham FP21	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL12 Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL13 PRow Woodton RB10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL14 Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Visual Amenity	Major	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL15 Origin of PRow Hempnall FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL16 Junction of PRow Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 7 – VL17 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL18 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL19 PRow Hempnall BR30	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL20 PRow Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL21 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL1 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudic ca Way	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 8 – VL2 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL3 PRow Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL4 Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL5 Junction of PRow Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL6 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Major	Major Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 8 – VL7 PRoW Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL8 Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL9 Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL10 Brooke Road, edge of Ugate Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL11 Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL1 PRoW Brooke FP6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL2 Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL3 Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL4 PRoW Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL5 PRoW Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL6 Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 9 – VL7 Highfield Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 10 – VL1 Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 10 – VL2 Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL3 Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL4 Upgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL5 PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 10 – VL6 Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 10 – VL7 Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL8 PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL9 PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL10 Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL1 Common Road near Parish Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL2 Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL3 Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL4 Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL5 PRow Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL6 Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRow Morningthorpe FP21	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL7 PRow Hempnall FP31	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL8 PRow Hempnall FP20	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL9 PRow Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Major Significant	Significant
CRC – VL10 PRow Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL11 PRow Hepingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL12 PRow Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL13 PRow Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Not Significant	Not Significant
CRC – VL14 PRow Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Not Significant	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL15 Southern origin of PRow Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL16 PRow Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL17 Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Operational Phase (Year 15)					
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 1	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 3	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 4	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 5	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 6	Medium	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change
Landscape character and feature of Site 7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 8	High	Landscape	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 9	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC4	Medium	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change
Landscape character and feature of CRC6	Medium	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change
Landscape character and feature of CRC7	High	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change
Landscape character and feature of CRC9	Medium	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC13	Medium	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change
BESS Site - VL1 (a/b/c) PRow Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL2 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL3 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL4 PRow Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL5 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL6 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL7 Origin of PRow Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL8 PRow Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL9 Property at Station Road	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL1 PRow Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL2 Junction of PRow Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 1 – VL3 SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL4 PRow Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL5 PRow Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL6 PRow Wacton FP3	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL7 Woodstock Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL8 Tibenham FP23	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL9 Aslacton FP13	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 2 – VL1 PRow Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRow Wacton RB40)	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL2 Junction of PRow Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL3 A140 Ipswich Road south-west of French's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL4 PRow Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL5 PRow Pulham Market FP6	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL6 PRow Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL7 Junction of PRow Tivetshall	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
St Mary FP6/FP7					
Site 2 – VL8 Carpenter Walk / PRow Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL1 Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 3 – VL2 PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL3 PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL4 PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL5 PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL6 PRoW Shelton FP11	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 3 – VL7 Stepping Lane	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL8 Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL1 PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL2 PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL3 Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL4 Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 4 – VL5 PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 4 – VL6 PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL7 PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Significant	Significant
Site 4 – VL8 PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Significant	Significant
Site 4 – VL9 PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL10 Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL11 Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL12 Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL1 PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL2 PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL3 PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL4 PRoW Morningthorpe FP5 north of junction with FP9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 5 – VL5 Origin of PRow Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL6 PRow Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL7 PRow Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL8 Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 6 – VL1 PRow Hempnall FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 6 – VL2 PRow Hempnall FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 6 – VL3 Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 6 – VL4 PRow Hempnall FP14	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 7 – VL1 Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL2 Junction with Boudicca Way/PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL3 Junction with PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL4 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 7 – VL5 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL6 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL7 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL8 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL9 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL10 Fylands Road	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL11 PRow Shotesham FP21	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL12 Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL13 PRow Woodton RB10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL14 Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL15 Origin of PRow Hempnall FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL16 Junction of PRow Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 7 – VL17 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL18 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL19 PRow Hempnall BR30	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL20 PRow Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL21 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL1 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 8 – VL2 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL3 PRow Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL4 Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL5 Junction of PRow Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL6 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 8 – VL7 PRoW Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL8 Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL9 Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 8 – VL10 Brooke Road, edge of Ugate Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL11 Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL1 PRoW Brooke FP6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL2 Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL3 Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL4 PRoW Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL5 PRoW Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL6 Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 9 – VL7 Highfield Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 10 – VL1 Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 10 – VL2 Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL3 Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL4 Upgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL5 PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL6 Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 10 – VL7 Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL8 PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL9 PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL10 Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL1 Common Road near Parish Farm	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL2 Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL3 Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL4 Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL5 PRow Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL6 Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRow Morningthorpe FP21	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL7 PRow Hempnall FP31	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL8 PRow Hempnall FP20	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL9 PRow Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL10 PRow Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL11 PRow Hepingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL12 PRow Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL13 PRow Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL14 PRow Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL15 Southern origin of PRow Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL16 PRow Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL17 Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Decommissioning Phase					
Boudicca Way Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Via Beata Recreational Route (LDT)	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
NCA 83 South Norfolk and High Suffolk Claylands	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA A1 Tas Rural River Valley	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA B1 Tas Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA B4 Waveney Tributary Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
LCA B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
LCA C2 Thurlton Tributary Farmland with Parkland	High	Landscape	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
LCA E2 Great Moulton Plateau Farmland	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of BESS Site, CRC1, CRC2	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 1	Medium	Landscape	Major	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 2, CRC3	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 3	Medium	Landscape	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 4	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 5	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 6	Medium	Landscape	No Change	No Change	No Change
Landscape character and feature of Site 7, CRC5, CRC8, CRC10, CRC11, CRC12	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 8	High	Landscape	Moderate	Major Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 9	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of Site 10, CRC14	Medium	Landscape	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC4	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC6	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC7	High	Landscape	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC9	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Landscape character and feature of CRC13	Medium	Landscape	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL1 (a/b/c) PRow Great Moulton RB19	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
BESS Site - VL2 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL3 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP12 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL4 PRow Tivetshall St Margaret FP11 junction with Station Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL5 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL6 PRow Tivetshall St. Margaret FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL7 Origin of PRow Tivetshall St Margaret FP11/FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL8 PRow Great Moulton RB1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
BESS Site - VL9 Property at Station Road	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL1 PRow Great Moulton FP16	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL2 Junction of PRow Great Moulton FP15/RB18	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 1 – VL3 SE of Great Moulton settlement	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 1 – VL4 PRoW Great Moulton FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL5 PRoW Wacton FP13 / Stratton Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 1 – VL6 PRoW Wacton FP3	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL7 Woodstock Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL8 Tibenham FP23	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 1 – VL9 Aslacton FP13	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 2 – VL1 PRoW Wacton RB31 (relocated from PRoW Wacton RB40)	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL2 Junction of PRoW Wacton RB31/Wacton FP43	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL3 A140 Ipswich Road south-west of French's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 2 – VL4 PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret RB6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL5 PRoW Pulham Market FP6	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL6 PRoW Tivetshall St Margaret BR9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 2 – VL7 Junction of PRoW Tivetshall	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
St Mary FP6/FP7					
Site 2 – VL8 Carpenter Walk / PRow Tivetshall St Mary BR7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL1 Burntoak Lane (Access track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 3 – VL2 PRoW Shelton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL3 PRoW Hempnall FP29	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL4 PRoW Hempnall FP28	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 3 – VL5 PRoW Hempnall FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL6 PRoW Shelton FP11	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 3 – VL7 Stepping Lane	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 3 – VL8 Spring Lane, PRoW Hempnall FP24	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL1 PRoW Long Stratton FP7	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL2 PRoW Long Stratton FP3 junction with Church Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL3 Brand's Lane north of Tharston Hall	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL4 Brand's Lane east of Shrublands	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 4 – VL5 PRoW Long Stratton FP2	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 4 – VL6 PRoW Morningthorpe FP3	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL7 PRoW junction of Long Stratton FP3 and FP4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL8 PRoW Long Stratton FP1	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 4 – VL9 PRoW Long Stratton FP6, adjacent to St Michael Church	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL10 Southwest of A140/B1527 Roundabout	Low	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL11 Norwich Road/Brand's Lane bus stop	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 4 – VL12 Hall Lane, outside Church of St Mary, Tharston	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL1 PRoW Morningthorpe RB4	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL2 PRoW Morningthorpe RB4 at junction with B1527	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL3 PRoW Morningthorpe FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL4 PRoW Morningthorpe FP5 north of junction with FP9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 5 – VL5 Origin of PRow Morningthorpe FP9 on The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL6 PRow Morningthorpe FP17	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 5 – VL7 PRow Morningthorpe FP18, near Fritton	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 5 – VL8 Settlement edge of Hempnall	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 6 – VL1 PRow Hempnall FP12	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 6 – VL2 PRow Hempnall FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 6 – VL3 Oxnead Lane north of Red House Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 6 – VL4 PRow Hempnall FP14	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 7 – VL1 Boudicca Way on Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL2 Junction with Boudicca Way/PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP26	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL3 Junction with PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP24 / FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL4 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP25	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 7 – VL5 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12/FP14	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL6 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate RB17/FP15	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL7 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP13 on The Green	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL8 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL9 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP9	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL10 Fylands Road	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL11 PRow Shotesham FP21	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL12 Woodton Road north of Frog's Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL13 PRow Woodton RB10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL14 Bussey's Loke (road)	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL15 Origin of PRow Hempnall FP5	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL16 Junction of PRow Hempnall FP5/Saxlingham Nethergate FP27	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 7 – VL17 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10/FP11	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL18 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP12 on Broaden Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL19 PRow Hempnall BR30	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 7 – VL20 PRow Hempnall FP2 on Fairstead Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 7 – VL21 PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP10	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL1 Junction of PRow Saxlingham Nethergate FP7/FP8/Boudicca Way	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 8 – VL2 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP18 on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 8 – VL3 PRow Shotesham FP16 on Market Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL4 Market Lane south of Market Lane Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL5 Junction of PRow Shotesham FP16/FP17	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL6 Origin of PRow Shotesham FP22 on Brooke Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Significant	Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 8 – VL7 PRoW Shotesham FP19 on southern Site boundary	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL8 Dawson's Farm on Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL9 Junction of Boudicca Way and Wash Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 8 – VL10 Brooke Road, edge of Ugate Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 8 – VL11 Brooke Road, edge of King's Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL1 PRoW Brooke FP6	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL2 Mill Lane at Brooke View Barns	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL3 Junction of Mill Lane and Woodton Road at Oldhouse Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL4 PRoW Brooke BR5 near Wood Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL5 PRoW Brooke FP6 south of High Green Gardens	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
Site 9 – VL6 Littlebeck Lane near Littlebeck Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 9 – VL7 Highfield Lane	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
Site 10 – VL1 Harvey Lane west of Nene Valley Farm	Low	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
Site 10 – VL2 Harvey Lane at commercial estate	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL3 Unnamed road leading south of Swargate Lane towards The Bungalow	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL4 Upgate Road at junction with Seething Airfield entrance	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL5 PRoW Hedenham RB9 on Harvey Lane/Upgate Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL6 Low Road east of B1331 Norwich Road	Low	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL7 Junction of B1332 Norwich Road and The Street	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL8 PRoW Woodton RB3 on Pound Lane (Track)	Medium	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Negligible Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL9 PRoW Seething FP11 near residential property	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
Site 10 – VL10 Wash Lane / Byway	Medium	Visual Amenity	Moderate	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL1 Common Road near Parish Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL2 Wood Lane near Ashleigh Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL3 Boudicca Way near Wood Green Farm	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL4 Hall Lane at junction of Long Stratton FP19	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL5 PRow Morningthorpe RB22 / Morningthorpe FP24 near Friars Farm	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL6 Brick Kiln Lane at junction of PRow Morningthorpe FP21	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL7 PRow Hempnall FP31	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL8 PRow Hempnall FP20	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL9 PRow Morningthorpe FP2/Boudicca Way north of Devil's Wood	High	Visual Amenity	Slight	Moderate Adverse	Significant
CRC – VL10 PRow Morningthorpe FP33/Boudicca Way	High	Visual Amenity	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL11 PRow Hepingall FP34/Boudicca Way at Fairstead Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL12 PRow Hempnall FP11 on Bussey's Loke	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL13 PRow Woodton RB10/Nobb's Lane (Track) west of Winter's Grove	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant
CRC – VL14 PRow Woodton FP1 near Ash's Beds (woodland)	Medium	Visual Amenity	Slight	Minor Adverse	Not Significant

Receptor	Sensitivity	Description of Impact	Magnitude of Impact	Scale and Nature of Effect	Significant/Not Significant
CRC – VL15 Southern origin of PRow Woodton BR5 west of Woodton	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL16 PRow Shelton FP1/Low Road	Medium	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change
CRC – VL17 Green Lane/ Springwood Lane	High	Visual Amenity	No Change	No Change	No Change

7.13 References

- Ref 7-1 Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom (1949), National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949. Available at: [National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949](#). Accessed May 25
- Ref 7-2 Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom (1981), Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Available at: [Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981](#). Accessed May 25
- Ref 7-3 Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom (1997), The Hedgerows Regulations 1997. Available at: [The Hedgerows Regulations 1997](#). Accessed May 25
- Ref 7-4 Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom (2000), Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/37/contents> Accessed May 25
- Ref 7-5 Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom (2006), Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/contents>. Accessed May 25
- Ref 7-6 European Landscape Convention (2006), European Landscape Convention: guidelines for managing landscapes. Available at: [European Landscape Convention: guidelines for managing landscapes - GOV.UK](#). Accessed May 25
- Ref 7-7 Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom (2021), Environment Act 2021. Available at: [Environment Act 2021](#). Accessed May 25
- Ref 7-8 Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom (2025), Planning and Infrastructure Act 2025. Available at: [Planning and Infrastructure Act 2025 publications - Parliamentary Bills - UK Parliament](#)
- Ref 7-9 Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (2025). Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Available at: [Overarching National Policy Statement for energy \(EN-1\), 2025](#) Accessed January 26
- Ref 7-10 Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (2025). National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3). Available at: [National Policy Statement for renewable energy infrastructure \(EN-3\)](#) Accessed January 26
- Ref 7-11 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. (2025). National Planning Policy Framework: draft text for consultation. Available at: [National Planning Policy Framework: draft text for consultation](#) Accessed January 26.
- Ref 7-12 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (2016, last updated 2025), Natural Environment PPG. Available at: [Natural environment - GOV.UK](#) Accessed Jan 26

- Ref 7-13 South Norfolk Council (2024), *Greater Norwich Local Plan*. Available at: <https://democracy.southnorfolkandbroadland.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=141&MId=804&Ver=4> Accessed Nov 24
- Ref 7-14 South Norfolk Council (2024), South Norfolk Development Management Policies Document. Available at: [development-management-policies-document-0.pdf](#) Accessed Nov 25
- Ref 7-15 The Landscape Partnership. (2025). Landscape Susceptibility in relation to Energy Generation, Storage and Transmiss. [Online]. South Norfolk Council. Available at: <https://southnorfolkandbroadland.oc2.uk/document/37/4141#d4141> Accessed Oct 25.
- Ref 7-16 Environmental Management and Assessment, '*Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment*' (3rd Edition, 2013) (GLVIA3). Accessed Nov 25
- Ref 7-17 Landscape Institute, *Technical Guidance Note (LITGN-2024-01)*, Notes and Clarifications. Available at: [LITGN-2024-01-GLVIA3-NC_Aug-2024.pdf \(SECURED\)](#) Accessed Nov 25
- Ref 7-18 Landscape Institute TGN 06/19 Visual Representation of Development Proposals. Available at [LI TGN-06-19 Visual Representation-1.pdf](#) Accessed Nov 25
- Ref 7-19 Landscape Institute TGN 06/19 Visual Representation of Development Proposals. Available at <https://www.landscapeinstitute.org/publication/tgn-02-21-assessing-landscape-value-outside-national-designations/> Accessed April 25
- Ref 7-20 TGN 04/2020 Infrastructure. Available at [Infrastructure guidance - Landscape Institute](#) Accessed April 25
- Ref 7-21 Natural England & Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 2024, *Countryside hedgerow protection: removing hedgerows*, GOV.UK, updated 23 May 2024. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/countryside-hedgerows-regulation-and-management> Accessed Feb 26
- Ref 7-22 Natural England (2014) *National Character Area Profiles*: <https://nationalcharacterareas.co.uk> Accessed July 24
- Ref 7-23 South Norfolk District. Landscape Character Assessment. <https://www.southnorfolkandbroadland.gov.uk/planning/future-development/supplementary-planning-documents/south-norfolk-landscape-character-assessments> Accessed March 25